

THE ROLE OF *H-2*-LINKED GENES IN
HELPER T-CELL FUNCTION

VI. Expression of *Ir* Genes by Helper T Cells*

BY PHILIPPA MARRACK‡ AND JOHN W. KAPPLER

*From Department of Microbiology, Division of Immunology and Cancer Center, School of Medicine and
Dentistry, Rochester, New York 14642*

In past experiments we and others have shown that *I* region and immune response (*Ir*) genes are expressed in the thymus during T-cell maturation (1–6), by macrophages (M ϕ) during helper T-cell priming (7, 8), and by both B cells and M ϕ during the effector stage of helper T-cell activity (7–11). In a previous paper we showed that *I* region and *Ir*-gene activity could not be detected in helper T cells themselves (1). In the strain combination we studied, for example, B10.A, *H-2^a*, low responder T cells provided good helper activity in responses to trinitrophenylated-poly-L-(Tyr,Glu)-poly-D,L-Ala—poly-L-Lys(TNP-(TG)-A—L), providing they differentiated in high responder B6AF₁ animals, were primed with antigen in the presence of high responder M ϕ , and were tested for activity with high responder B cells and M ϕ .

Because of several reports that the sites of *Ir*-gene action may be different in low responder mice of different haplotypes (12, 13) we decided to investigate the expression of (TG)-A—L-specific *Ir* genes in low responder helper T cells of a haplotype other than *H-2^a*. We chose to study *H-2^f* helper T cells, because mice of this haplotype had previously been shown to have a lesion in anti-(TG)-A—L response at a site different from that of *H-2^a* mice (12, 13). Our results show that *Ir* genes for anti-(TG)-A—L responses are expressed in *H-2^f* helper T cells, a result which is in contrast to that obtained with *H-2^a* helper T cells.

Materials and Methods

Mice. C57BL/10.Sn (B10) (*H-2^b*) mice were obtained from The Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, Maine). B10.M (*H-2^f*) breeding pairs were supplied to us kindly by Dr. Mariana Cherry (The Jackson Laboratory). B10.M and (B10 \times B10.M)F₁ mice were bred in our facilities.

Preparation of Irradiated, Bone Marrow Reconstituted Mice. Bone marrow chimeric mice were prepared as previously described (1). Briefly, both B10.M donors and (B10 \times B10.M)F₁ recipients were depleted of recirculating T cells by intraperitoneal injection of 0.04 ml anti-thymocyte serum (ATS, Microbiological Associates, Walkersville, Md.) 2 d before use (1). After receiving 900 rads, recipients were given 2–3 \times 10⁷ donor bone marrow cells intravenously (i.v.), and rested for at least 8 wk before use. Donors, recipients, and chimeras (B10.M \rightarrow (B10 \times B10.M)F₁) were maintained on acidified water and given 400 μ g gentamicin sulfate (Schering Corp., Kenilworth, N. J.) on the day before, day of, and day after irradiation.

Antigens. Keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH) was purchased from CalBiochem-Behring Corp., American Hoechst Corp., San Diego, Calif., (TG)-A—L (batch number MC8) was

* Supported by U. S. Public Health Service research grants AI-11558 and CA-11198 and American Cancer Society research grant IM-49.

‡ Recipient of an Established Investigatorship from the American Heart Association.

purchased from Miles Laboratories Inc., Miles Research Products, Elkhart, Ind. and *Escherichia coli* lipopolysaccharide (LPS) was bought from Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Mich. Horse erythrocytes (HRBC) from a single animal were provided by the Colorado Serum Co., Denver, Colo. A trinitrophenylated form of each of these antigens was prepared as previously described (11).

Immunizations. KLH- or (TG)-A—L-specific helper T cells were obtained from animals immunized as previously described (11). To ensure that the appropriate antigen-presenting cells were present in B10.M \rightarrow (B10 \times B10.M) F_1 chimeric mice, these animals were given one mouse equivalent each of (B10 \times B10.M) F_1 or B10 B cells and M ϕ i.v. on the day of priming. B cells and M ϕ were prepared free of T cells by injection of donor animals with 0.04 ml ATS i.p. 2 d before transfer, and treatment of combined splenic and peritoneal cells with anti-T serum and complement (10) immediately before transfer. This is a procedure which we have shown in the past supplies antigen-presenting cells of the required haplotype to parental \rightarrow F_1 chimeric mice (1). For in vitro immunizations antigen was added as previously described (11).

Preparation of T Cells, B Cells, and Macrophages. T cells were isolated from nylon fiber columns as previously described (10). Where necessary these cells were depleted of B10 or (B10 \times B10.M) F_1 cells by treatment with B10.A anti-B10 serum followed by washing and incubation with rabbit complement (1). The antiserum selected for this treatment had a high cytotoxic titer on $H-2^b$ lymphocytes and no discernable cytotoxicity on $H-2^f$ lymphocytes. Erythrocytes were removed from splenic T-cell preparations before antiserum and complement treatment using modified Gey's solution (14). B cells and M ϕ were obtained from the spleens of TNP-LPS-primed mice by treatment with anti-T-cell serum and complement (10). M ϕ for presentation of TNP-(TG)-A—L were obtained from the peritoneal washings of normal mice, and pulsed with TNP-(TG)-A—L as previously described (11).

Assay of Helper T-Cell Activities. Cells were cultured by modifications of the methods of Mishell and Dutton (10) in Linbro FB16-24TC culture trays (Linbro Chemical Co., Hamden, Conn.). Each culture contained 3×10^5 TNP-primed B cells and M ϕ and TNP-KLH to a concentration of 1 μ g/ml or 10^5 TNP-(TG)-A—L-pulsed M ϕ as antigen. Antigen-pulsed M ϕ were always syngeneic to the B cells and M ϕ in vitro. KLH- or (TG)-A—L-primed T cells were titrated into these cultures, and carrier-specific helper activity quantitated as previously described (10). Briefly, the number of anti-TNP plaque-forming cells (PFC) observed per culture after 4 d incubation was plotted versus the number of carrier-primed T cells added. A straight line was fit to the initial linear portion of this titration and the slope of this line was taken as a relative measure of the activity of the T-cell preparation. This slope and its standard error are reported in units of anti-TNP PFC/culture/ 10^6 T cells \pm the standard error (SE). When T cells were treated with antisera, the T-cell activities reported are based on the original number of T cells before treatment.

Anti-TNP PFC were assayed from triplicate cultures using the slide modification of the hemolytic plaque assay (10). Parallel determinations were made with HRBC and TNP-HRBC and the difference recorded as the number of anti-TNP specific PFC.

Results

We tested the ability of T cells from B10.M \rightarrow (B10 \times B10.M) F_1 chimeric mice to help responses to TNP-(TG)-A—L, and compared this activity with that of (B10 \times B10.M) F_1 , high responder and B10.M $H-2^f$, low responder T cells. On the day of priming, chimeric mice were given one mouse equivalent each of splenic and peritoneal B cells and M ϕ from (B10 \times B10.M) F_1 or B10 animals, to provide antigen-presenting cells bearing the $H-2^b$, high responder, haplotype in these animals. As shown in Fig. 1, (B10 \times B10.M) F_1 T cells were able to help anti-TNP-(TG)-A—L responses of B10 B cells and M ϕ very well, but the anti-TNP-(TG)-A—L responses of B10.M B cells and M ϕ poorly, in agreement with our previous experiments (11). B10.M T cells stimulated a poor response in B10.M B cells and M ϕ . To our surprise, B10.M \rightarrow (B10 \times B10.M) F_1 T cells also stimulated poor anti-TNP-(TG)-A—L responses, even when tested on high responder B10 B cells and M ϕ . In control




FIG. 1. Expression of *Ir* genes in T cells. (B10 × B10.M)F₁, high responder, and B10.M, low responder, mice were primed with (T,G)-A—L. B10.M → (B10 × B10.M)F₁ chimeric animals were given B10, high responder, antigen-presenting cells and were also primed with (T,G)-A—L. Their T cells were subsequently titrated for helper activity in anti-TNP-(T,G)-A—L responses of B cells and Mφ from B10 or B10.M mice. Before titration, aliquots of (B10 × B10.M)F₁ or B10.M → (B10 × B10.M)F₁ T cells were treated with anti-*H-2^b* and complement to establish the origin of these T cells. Results shown are the helper activities, expressed as anti-TNP PFC/10⁶ T cells/culture ± SE for different helper T-cell populations. Similar results were obtained in three other identical experiments.

experiments, chimeric and F₁ T cells were treated with anti-*H-2^b* serum and complement to prove that the response observed in chimeric animals was indeed a result of T cells bearing *H-2^f* antigens only.

We were concerned that we had not successfully reconstituted the chimeric animals with high responder *H-2^b*-bearing antigen-presenting cells. To prove that such cells were indeed functional we performed concomitant experiments to those described above, using B10.M → (B10 × B10.M)F₁ chimeric mice given *H-2^b*-bearing B cells and Mφ on the day of immunization (see above) and primed with KLH. T cells from these animals were able to help anti-TNP-KLH responses of B10 or B10.M B cells and Mφ very well, and had as high a helper activity as KLH-primed cells from (B10 × B10.M)F₁ animals (Fig. 2). Again, controls after T-cell treatment with anti-*H-2^b* serum and complement revealed that the KLH-specific helper activity in the chimeric mice was a result of T cells of donor origin.

Discussion

We have been trying to determine whether *Ir*-genes are expressed in helper T cells specific for (T,G)-A—L by testing the properties of low responder T cells produced in low responder → (high responder, *H-2^b*, × low responder)F₁ bone marrow chimeric mice. Thus far, we have tested two low responder haplotypes, *H-2^a* (1), and in the present report, *H-2^f*, with dramatically contrasting results. *H-2^a* T cells from chimeric mice were good responders to (T,G)-A—L provided they were primed in the presence of *H-2^b*-bearing Mφ and tested for helper activity with *H-2^b*-bearing B cells and Mφ. On the other hand as reported here, *H-2^f* T cells from chimeric mice were poor




FIG. 2. $H-2^b$ -bearing antigen presenting cells are present in the chimeric mice. $(B10 \times B10.M)F_1$ mice were primed with KLH. $B10.M \rightarrow (B10 \times B10.M)F_1$ animals were given B10 antigen-presenting cells and were also primed with KLH. Their T cells were subsequently titrated for helper activity in anti-TNP-KLH responses of B cells and $M\phi$ from B10 or B10.M mice. Before titration, aliquots of these T cells were treated with anti- $H-2^b$ and complement to establish their origin. Results shown are the helper activities expressed as anti-TNP PFC/ 10^6 T cells/culture \pm SE for different helper T-cell populations. Similar results were obtained in one other identical experiment.

responders to (T,G)-A—L even when primed and tested with $H-2^b$ B cells and $M\phi$. These results suggest that for the $H-2^f$, but not $H-2^a$, low responder haplotype an *Ir*-gene defect is expressed in helper T cells. Also, in this report and in our previous work we clearly established that for both the $H-2^a$ and $H-2^f$ haplotypes, *Ir*-genes were expressed in B cells and $M\phi$ during their interaction with primed helper T cells.

Taken together, these findings indicate at least two types of *Ir*-genes that control the response to (T,G)-A—L; one, expressed in B cells and $M\phi$ and deficient in both the $H-2^a$ and $H-2^f$ haplotype and a second, expressed in T cells and defective in the $H-2^f$ but not $H-2^a$ haplotype. In this respect, our results are reminiscent of those of Munro and Taussig (12) who reported that $H-2^a$, but not $H-2^f$, T cells were able to produce an antigen-specific helper factor in response to (T,G)-A—L.

There are a number of possible explanations for the expression of *Ir* genes in helper T cells. Many experiments from this (7, 9–11) and other (8, 15, 16) laboratories have shown that *Ir*-genes are expressed in B cells and $M\phi$ in a manner consistent with the idea that these genes control the recognition of B-cell- or $M\phi$ -bound antigen by T cells. By analogy, it may be, as suggested by Zinkernagel et al. (17) and von Boehmer et al. (5), that *Ir*-genes, expressed in helper T cells, control the recognition of helper T-cell-bound antigen by a second T cell whose activity is required for the response of the helper T cell. The attraction to us of such an explanation is it produces a unifying scheme for the mode of action of *Ir*-genes.

On the other hand, there are other explanations for our results which our data at present do not distinguish. For example, a second possibility is that some *Ir*-gene products are involved in the structure of the T-cell receptor for antigen and therefore, the expression of a low responder *Ir*-gene in $H-2^f$ T cells indicates the lack of (T,G)-A—L-specific receptors in mice of this haplotype. A third possibility is that an *Ir*-gene controlling (T,G)-A—L-specific suppressor cells is expressed in mice of the $H-2^f$ but

not $H-2^a$ haplotype. Our future experiments will be aimed at distinguishing these various possibilities.

Summary

We examined the expression of (TG)-A—L specific *Ir* genes in helper T cells using T cells from low responder \rightarrow (B10, high responder \times low responder) F_1 chimeric mice. In this paper, the low responder strain studied was B10.M, $H-2^f$. B10.M T cells from these chimeric animals do not help anti-TNP-(TG)-A—L responses, even though they have matured in a high responder thymus and been primed and challenged with antigen on high responder $M\phi$ and B cells. These findings indicate that in the $H-2^f$ haplotype an *Ir*-gene controlling anti-(TG)-A—L activity is expressed in helper T cells. The findings are in contrast to those we have obtained and previously reported with T cells of another low responder haplotype, $H-2^a$.

Taken together with our previous findings that (TG)-A—L-specific *Ir* genes are expressed by B cells and $M\phi$ of both the $H-2^a$ and $H-2^f$ haplotypes, the results indicate two sites of action for *Ir* genes, and suggest two different gene products acting at different stages of the response, both of which are defective in $H-2^f$ cells, and only one of which is defective in $H-2^a$ cells.

We thank Lee Harwell, George Berry, Rebecca Furkes, and Jan Moynihan for their excellent technical assistance. We thank the Animal Tumor Research Facility and the Biostatistics Facility of the University of Rochester Cancer Center for their help in supplying tumor cells for ATS absorption and in developing computer programs for statistical handling of our data, respectively. We are very grateful to Dr. Christopher Lawrence (Department of Radiation Biology and Biophysics, University of Rochester) for the use of his ^{60}Co irradiator.

Received for publication 27 November 1978.

References

1. Kappler, J. W., and P. C. Marrack. 1978. The role of $H-2$ -linked genes in helper T-cell function. IV. Importance of T cell genotype and host environment in *I* region and *Ir* gene expression. *J. Exp. Med.* **148**:1510.
2. Zinkernagel, R. M., G. N. Callahan, A. Althage, S. Cooper, and J. Klein. 1978. On the thymus in the differentiation of " $H-2$ self recognition" by T cells: evidence for dual recognition? *J. Exp. Med.* **147**:882.
3. Sprent, J. 1978. Restricted helper function of $F_1 \rightarrow$ parent bone marrow chimeras controlled by *K*-end of $H-2$ complex. *J. Exp. Med.* **147**:1838.
4. Fink, P. J., and M. J. Bevan. 1978. $H-2$ antigens of the thymus determine lymphocyte specificity. *J. Exp. Med.* **148**:766.
5. von Boehmer, H., W. Haas, and N. K. Jerne. 1978. Major histocompatibility complex-linked immune responsiveness is acquired by lymphocytes of low-responder mice differentiating on thymus of high-responder mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **75**:2439.
6. Waldmann, H., H. Pope, L. Brent, and K. Bighouse. 1978. Influence of the major histocompatibility complex on lymphocyte interactions in antibody formation. *Nature (Lond.)*. **274**:166.
7. Kappler, J. W., and P. C. Marrack. 1976. Helper T cells recognize antigen and macrophage surface components simultaneously. *Nature (Lond.)*. **262**:797.
8. Pierce, C. W., J. A. Kapp, and B. Benacerraf. 1976. Regulation by the $H-2$ gene complex of macrophage-lymphoid cell interactions in secondary antibody responses *in vitro*. *J. Exp. Med.* **144**:371.

9. Swierkosz, J. E., K. Rock, P. Marrack, and J. W. Kappler. 1978. The role of *H-2*-linked genes in helper T-cell function. II. Isolation on antigen-pulsed macrophages of two separate populations of F_1 helper T cells each specific for antigen and one set of parental *H-2* products. *J. Exp. Med.* **147**:554.
10. Kappler, J. W., and P. C. Marrack. 1977. The role of *H-2*-linked genes in helper T-cell function. I. *In vitro* expression in B cells of immune response genes controlling helper T-cell activity. *J. Exp. Med.* **146**:1748.
11. Marrack, P., and J. W. Kappler. 1978. The role of *H-2* linked genes in helper T-cell function. III. Expression of immune response genes for trinitrophenyl conjugates of poly-L-(Tyr,Glu)-poly-D,L-Ala—poly-L-Lys in B cells and macrophages. *J. Exp. Med.* **147**:1596.
12. Munro, A. J., and M. Taussig. 1975. Two genes in the major histocompatibility complex control response. *Nature (Lond.)* **256**:103.
13. Howie, S., and M. Feldmann. 1977. *In vitro* studies of *H-2* linked unresponsiveness to synthetic polypeptides. II. Production of an antigen-specific T helper cell factor to (T,G)-A—L. *Eur. J. Immunol.* **7**:417.
14. Julius, M. H., and L. A. Herzenberg. 1974. Isolation of antigen-binding cells from unprimed mice. Demonstration of antibody-forming cell precursor activity and correlation between precursor and secreted antibody avidities. *J. Exp. Med.* **140**:904.
15. Katz, D. H., T. Hamaoka, M. D. Dorf, P. H. Maurer, and B. Benacerraf. 1973. Cell interactions between histoincompatible T and B lymphocytes. IV. Involvement of the immune response (*Ir*) gene in the control of lymphocyte interactions in responses controlled by the gene. *J. Exp. Med.* **138**:734.
16. Singer, A., C. Cowing, K. S. Hathcock, H. Dickler, and R. Hodes. 1978. Cellular and genetic control of antibody responses in vitro. III. Immune response gene regulation of accessory cell function. *J. Exp. Med.* **147**:1611.
17. Zinkernagel, R. M., G. N. Callahan, A. Althage, S. Cooper, J. W. Streilein, and J. Klein. 1978. The lymphoreticular system in triggering virus plus self-specific cytotoxic T cells: evidence for T help. *J. Exp. Med.* **147**:897.