

A Single Immunoglobulin-like Domain of the Human Neural Cell Adhesion Molecule L1 Supports Adhesion by Multiple Vascular and Platelet Integrins

Brunhilde Felding-Habermann,* Steve Silletti,[‡] Fang Mei,[‡] Chi-Hung Siu,[§] Paul M. Yip,[§] Peter C. Brooks,[‡] David A. Cheresch,[‡] Timothy E. O'Toole,^{||} Mark H. Ginsberg,^{||} and Anthony M.P. Montgomery[‡]

*Roon Research Center for Arteriosclerosis and Thrombosis, Division of Experimental Hemostasis and Thrombosis; Department of Molecular and Experimental Medicine, [‡]Department of Immunology, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California 92037; [§]Banting and Best Department of Medical Research and Department of Biochemistry, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5G 1L6; and ^{||}Department of Vascular Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California 92037

Abstract. The neural cell adhesion molecule L1 has been shown to function as a homophilic ligand in a variety of dynamic neurological processes. Here we demonstrate that the sixth immunoglobulin-like domain of human L1 (L1-Ig6) can function as a heterophilic ligand for multiple members of the integrin superfamily including $\alpha_v\beta_3$, $\alpha_v\beta_1$, $\alpha_5\beta_1$, and $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$. The interaction between L1-Ig6 and $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ was found to support the rapid attachment of activated human platelets, whereas a corresponding interaction with $\alpha_v\beta_3$ and $\alpha_v\beta_1$ supported the adhesion of umbilical vein endothelial cells. Mutation of the single Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) motif in human L1-Ig6 effectively abrogated binding by the aforementioned integrins. A L1 peptide containing this RGD motif and corresponding flanking amino acids (PSITWRGDGRDLQEL) effectively blocked L1 integrin interactions and, as an immobilized ligand, supported ad-

hesion via $\alpha_v\beta_3$, $\alpha_v\beta_1$, $\alpha_5\beta_1$, and $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$. Whereas β_3 integrin binding to L1-Ig6 was evident in the presence of either Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , or Mn^{2+} , a corresponding interaction with the β_1 integrins was only observed in the presence of Mn^{2+} . Furthermore, such Mn^{2+} -dependent binding by $\alpha_5\beta_1$ and $\alpha_v\beta_1$ was significantly inhibited by exogenous Ca^{2+} . Our findings suggest that physiological levels of calcium will impose a hierarchy of integrin binding to L1 such that $\alpha_v\beta_3$ or active $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3 > \alpha_v\beta_1 > \alpha_5\beta_1$. Given that L1 can interact with multiple vascular or platelet integrins it is significant that we also present evidence for de novo L1 expression on blood vessels associated with certain neoplastic or inflammatory diseases. Together these findings suggest an expanded and novel role for L1 in vascular and thrombotic processes.

PIONEERING studies on the structure and function of L1 have established this cell adhesion molecule (CAM)¹ as a member of the immunoglobulin superfamily (IgSF) that plays a quintessential role in neural development (Lindner et al., 1983; Moos et al., 1988). Functions attributed to this neural CAM include such dynamic processes as cerebellar cell migration (Lindner et al., 1983)

Address all correspondence to Anthony Montgomery, Department of Immunology, R218, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA 92037. Tel.: (619) 784-8109. Fax: (619) 784-2708. E-mail: Montg@scripps.edu

1. *Abbreviations used in this paper:* CAM, cell adhesion molecule; GST, glutathione-S-transferase; IgSF, immunoglobulin super family; L1-Ig6, sixth immunoglobulin-like domain of human L1; NCAM, neural cell adhesion molecule; NILE, nerve growth factor-inducible, large external protein; NgCAM, neuron-glial CAM; ORF, open reading frame; PGE₁, prostaglandin E₁; RGD, Arg-Gly-Asp; VNR, vitronectin receptor.

and neurite fasciculation and outgrowth (Lagenaur and Lemmon, 1987).

Human and mouse L1 and L1-related glycoproteins in the rat (nerve growth factor-inducible, large external glycoprotein [NILE]), chick (neuron-glial [Ng]CAM, 8D9, G4), and *Drosophila* (neuroglia) have been described (Grumet et al., 1984; Bock et al., 1985; Lemmon and McLoon, 1986; Mujoo et al., 1986). These homologues share an extracellular structure consisting of six Ig-like domains and five fibronectin type III-like repeats (Moos et al., 1988; Sonderegger and Rathjen, 1992). These extracellular domains are linked via a single transmembrane sequence to a short, highly conserved cytoplasmic domain (Reid and Hemperly, 1992). Limited structural variation within the human L1 molecule has been reported and can be attributed to variable glycosylation and two alternatively spliced mini exons (Reid and Hemperly, 1992; Jouet et al., 1995).

Reflecting its designation as a neural CAM (NCAM), L1 is highly expressed on postmitotic neurons of the central and peripheral nervous systems and on pre- or nonmyelinating Schwann cells of the peripheral nervous system (Lindner et al., 1983; Rathjen and Schachner, 1984; Martini and Schachner, 1986). Although classified a neural recognition molecule, L1 has also been identified on non-neuronal cell types of surprisingly diverse origin. Thus, we and others, have recently described L1 on human immune cells of both myelomonocytic and lymphoid origin (Ebeling et al., 1996; Pancook et al., 1997). L1 has also been described on epithelial cells of the intestine and urogenital tract (Thor et al., 1987; Kowitz et al., 1992; Kujat et al., 1995) and on transformed cells of both neuroectodermal and epithelial origin (Mujoo et al., 1986; Linnemann et al., 1989; Reid and Hemperly, 1992). Apart from such cellular associations it is apparent that L1 can also be shed and incorporated into the extracellular matrix (Martini and Schachner, 1986; Poltorak et al., 1990; Montgomery et al., 1996). This consequently implies a dual function for L1 both as a CAM and a substrate adhesion molecule (SAM).

In addition to having a propensity for homophilic binding (Lemmon et al., 1989), L1 has recently emerged as a ligand that can undergo multiple heterophilic interactions. Examples include interactions with other members of the IgSF and even components of the extracellular matrix. Thus, heterophilic ligands include TAG-1/axonin-1 (Kuhn et al., 1991; Felsenfeld et al., 1994), F3/F11 (Olive et al., 1995), laminin (Hall et al., 1997), and chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans (Grumet et al., 1993; Friedlander et al., 1994). Significantly, L1 has also been reported to undergo multiple *cis*-type interactions with molecules as diverse as NCAM (Feizi, 1994), CD9 (Schmidt et al., 1996), and CD24 (Kadmon et al., 1995). Interestingly such interactions in the plane of the cell membrane may serve to modify the specificity and avidity of L1 binding to ligands associated with the membranes of juxtaposed cells or the extracellular matrix (Feizi, 1994; Kadmon et al., 1995; Schmidt et al., 1996).

The presence of a single Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) motif in the sixth Ig-like domain of human L1, and the presence of two such motifs in the same domain of the murine and rat L1-homologues prompted early speculation as to whether L1 might also function as a heterophilic ligand for members of the integrin superfamily. A number of studies have now reported novel L1-integrin interactions (Ruppert et al., 1995; Ebeling et al., 1996; Montgomery et al., 1996; Duczmal et al., 1997; Pancook et al., 1997). In the first of these studies, Ruppert et al. (1995) describe an interaction between the integrin $\alpha_5\beta_1$ and murine L1. In a subsequent study, we report an interaction between human L1 and the vitronectin receptor $\alpha_v\beta_3$ (Montgomery et al., 1996); an association that has now been described using a variety of cell types (Ebeling et al., 1996; Pancook et al., 1997; Duczmal et al., 1997). Whereas an interaction between the integrin $\alpha_5\beta_1$ and murine L1 has been documented, an interaction between this integrin and human L1 has not, despite the use of cells expressing reasonable levels of this integrin (Ebeling et al., 1996). This has prompted some debate about species-specific recognition perhaps governed by the presence of an additional RGD site in murine L1 (Ebeling et al., 1996). Whereas the majority of these studies have used purified or recombinant L1 as a substrate for inte-

grin-mediated adhesion, it is important that the interaction between integrin $\alpha_5\beta_1$ and murine L1 has also been shown to mediate cell-cell interaction (Ruppert et al., 1995).

To date, $\alpha_v\beta_3$ is the only member of the integrin superfamily that has been shown to interact with human L1 (Ebeling et al., 1996; Montgomery et al., 1996; Duczmal et al., 1997; Pancook et al., 1997). A primary objective of this study was to determine whether recognition of human L1 is a singular attribute of $\alpha_v\beta_3$ or an attribute that can be extended to other RGD-dependent integrins. In this regard, we demonstrate that the sixth Ig-like domain of human L1 can in fact support multiple integrin interactions involving, not just $\alpha_v\beta_3$, but also the integrins $\alpha_v\beta_1$, $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$, and indeed $\alpha_5\beta_1$. A further objective of this study was to address key structural and regulatory issues related to these L1-integrin interactions, including the central importance of the single RGD motif and the critical differential effects of specific divalent cations. In this regard, we present evidence that recognition of L1 by $\alpha_v\beta_3$, $\alpha_v\beta_1$, $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$, and $\alpha_5\beta_1$ is indeed RGD-dependent and that the binding of these different integrins is differentially regulated by physiological levels of calcium. Based on the novel pairing of L1 with $\alpha_v\beta_1$ or $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$, we further demonstrated that this CAM can support the attachment of both endothelial cells ($\alpha_v\beta_1$) and activated platelets ($\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$). Given the interaction between L1 and the vascular integrins $\alpha_v\beta_3$ and $\alpha_v\beta_1$, it is salient that we also describe *de novo* L1 expression on blood vessels associated with certain neoplastic or inflammatory diseases. Based on these findings we suggest expanded and novel roles for L1-integrin interactions in vascular and thrombogenic processes.

Materials and Methods

Antibodies

Anti-integrin antibodies used include the following: anti-hamster $\alpha_5\beta_1$ mAb PB1, anti- α_v and β_3 integrin polyclonal (anti-vitronectin receptor [VNR]), anti- $\alpha_v\beta_3$ mAb LM609, anti- $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ mAb LJ-CP8, anti- β_3 integrin mAb 7E3, anti- β_1 integrin mAb P4C10, and anti- α_v integrin mAb 17E6. PB1 was generated and provided by Dr. R. Juliano (University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC), (Brown and Juliano, 1985). Anti- β_1 integrin mAb P4C10 was provided by Dr. E.A. Wayner (University of Minnesota, Twin Cities, MN). The 7E3 antibody was originally generated and characterized by Collier et al. (1986) and the 17E6 antibody (Mitjans et al., 1995) was provided by Dr. S.L. Goodman (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany). LM609 (Cheresh and Spiro, 1987), anti-VNR, and LJ-CP8 (Nijja et al., 1987) were generated within the Scripps Research Institute (La Jolla, CA). The anti-human L1 mAb 5G3 used in this study, was also generated and characterized within the Scripps Research Institute (Mujoo et al., 1986).

Peptides

L1 peptides were synthesized on a peptide synthesizer (ABI 430A; Applied Biosystems, Inc., Foster City, CA) within the Scripps Research Institute Core Facility. A 15-mer peptide was selected to include the single RGD site in human L1 (i.e., PSITWRGDGRDLQEL). Control peptides were substituted with alanine to give PSITWRADGRDLQEL. For the purpose of immobilization an additional batch of these peptides was made with NH_2 -terminal cysteine residues. Peptides were prepared using Rink Amide MBHA or Wang resin (Calbiochem-Novabiochem, La Jolla, CA). After resin deprotection and assembly the peptides were cleaved from the resin with a cleavage cocktail (2.5% ethanedithiol, 5% thioanisole, 5% water, 87.5% trifluoroacetic acid) and subsequently purified by preparative reverse phase HPLC. Peptides were characterized further by analytical HPLC and mass spectroscopy.

Cell Lines and Culture

The generation and characterization of CHO cells stably transfected to express normal human platelet $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ (A5 cells) has been described in detail elsewhere (O'Toole et al., 1989, 1990; Frojmovic et al., 1991) and will be described only briefly here. CHO cells were cotransfected with equal amounts of human α_{IIb} and β_3 expression constructs and a CDM8 vector containing the neomycin resistance gene CDNeo at a ratio of 30:1 (O'Toole et al., 1989). Transfection was performed by the calcium phosphate method followed by glycerol shock. G418-resistant colonies were isolated and positive clones identified by flow cytometry using subunit-specific antibodies. The generation of CHO cells transfected to express the active extracellular domain of $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ ($\alpha_{IIb}\alpha_1\Delta\beta_3$ cells) has been described (O'Toole et al., 1994). Briefly, the cytoplasmic sequence from the α_L integrin subunit was isolated from the appropriate cDNA clone by PCR with the PCR oligonucleotides designed to omit the α_L cytoplasmic sequence VGFFK (i.e., $\alpha_L\Delta$). As previously described, the $\alpha_L\Delta$ construct was ligated with a fragment encoding the extracellular and transmembrane domains of the α_{IIb} integrin subunit. Coexpression of this chimeric internal deletion mutant ($\alpha_{IIb}\alpha_1\Delta$) with the wild-type β_3 integrin subunit resulted in the stable expression of the $\alpha_{IIb}\alpha_1\Delta\beta_3$ heterodimer bearing an active, high affinity extracellular domain of human $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ (O'Toole et al., 1994). Wild-type CHO-K1 cells and transfected cell lines were maintained in DME supplemented with 10% FCS, 1% glutamine, and 1% non-essential amino acids.

A spontaneously transformed, human umbilical vein endothelial cell line designated ECV304 (Hughes, 1996) was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD). A stable $\alpha_v\beta_3$ -negative variant of this line was obtained by repeated negative sorting using anti- $\alpha_v\beta_3$ mAb LM609. Sorting of $\alpha_v\beta_3$ -negative unstained cells was performed using a FACStar[®] flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, Co., Mountain View, CA). To obtain a stable, $\alpha_v\beta_3$ -negative population, the cells were sorted on five consecutive occasions. The ECV304 cells were maintained in M199 medium supplemented with 10% FCS and 1% glutamine.

Isolation of Human Platelets

Blood was collected from the antecubital vein of healthy adult donors through a 19-gauge needle into syringes containing, as anticoagulant the thrombin inhibitor D-phenylalanyl-L-arginine chloromethyl ketone dihydrochloride (PPACK; Bachem Bioscience Inc., Philadelphia, PA) (50 nM final concentration) and supplemented, when indicated, with prostaglandin E_1 (PGE₁; Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) (20 nM final concentration) to inhibit platelet activation. None of the donors had taken drugs known to affect platelet function for the preceding 10 d. For the preparation of washed platelets, the blood was supplemented with 5 U/ml of the ADP scavenger apyrase (Sigma Chemical Co.) and centrifuged at 2,500 g for 15 min at room temperature. Plasma was removed and replaced with an equivalent volume of Hepes-Tyrode's buffer, pH 6.5 (10 mM Hepes, 140 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 0.4 mM NaH₂PO₄, 10 mM NaHCO₃, and 5 mM dextrose), containing 1 U/ml of apyrase. The resuspended blood cells were centrifuged again at 2,250 g for 10 min. The blood cells were washed twice using Hepes-Tyrode's buffer containing 0.2 U/ml apyrase in the next step and no apyrase in the last step. The final blood cell pellet was reconstituted in Hepes-Tyrode's buffer, pH 7.4, containing 50 mg/ml BSA to adjust the viscosity to that of plasma, and then centrifuged at 700 g for 15 min. The platelet-rich supernatant was collected and supplemented with 1 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM MgCl₂, and 100 μ M MnCl₂. The platelet count was adjusted to 100,000 platelets/ μ l. To analyze the effect of activation on platelet adhesion, the platelets were stimulated with ADP and epinephrine (20 μ M final concentration of each) immediately before adding the platelet suspension to the assay plates. Adhesion of non-activated platelets was studied using unstimulated platelets prepared from PGE₁-treated blood.

Construction and Expression of L1 Fusion Proteins

Two wild-type and two mutant L1-glutathione-S-transferase (GST) fusion proteins were used in this study. The wild-type fusion proteins consisted of Ig-like domains 4, 5, and 6 (L1-Ig4-6) or the sixth Ig-like domain alone (L1-Ig6). The mutant fusion proteins consist of the sixth Ig-like domain of L1 with the amino acid mutations of Arg-554 and Asp-556 to Lys-554, and Glu-556, respectively (i.e., RGD→KGE) or the single amino acid mutation Asp-556 to Ala-556 (i.e., RGD→RGA). Amino acids are numbered as described by Bateman et al. (1996).

The generation and characterization of the L1-Ig4-6 GST fusion protein used in this study has been described in detail elsewhere (Zhao and

Siu, 1995). The production of L1-Ig6 GST fusion protein (amino acids 518–614) was as follows. The region of interest was amplified from full-length L1 cDNA, which was provided by Dr. J. Hemperly (Becton Dickinson Research Center, Research Triangle Park, NC). Amplification was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions for the Expand High Fidelity PCR System (Boehringer Mannheim Corp., Indianapolis, IN) using an upstream sense primer specific for nucleotides 1,542–1,562 of the human L1 open reading frame (ORF) and containing an engineered internal EcoRI restriction endonuclease site (5'-AAA GAA TTC ACT CAG ATC ACT-3') in conjunction with a downstream antisense primer specific for nucleotides 1,829–1,849 of the human L1 ORF, which was also engineered to contain an internal EcoRI site (5'-CGT GAA TTC GGC CCA GGG CTC-3'). The resulting product was digested with EcoRI and subcloned into a pGEX-1 λ T vector (Pharmacia Biotech Sevrage, Uppsala, Sweden). Competent *Escherichia coli* strain BL21 cells (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) were transformed with this construct and resulting colonies were screened by PCR and examined for expression of appropriately sized GST fusion protein by SDS-PAGE, followed by immunoblotting with an anti-GST polyclonal antibody (Upstate Biotechnology, Inc., Lake Placid, NY). The chemiluminescent substrate PS-3 (Lumigen, Inc., Southfield, MI) was used for detection. Dideoxy sequencing of positive clones was performed to verify the integrity of the introduced coding sequence.

The mutant L1-Ig6 RGD→RGA was generated according to the manufacturer's instructions for the Quickchange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene) using the plasmid DNA encoding the L1-Ig6 fusion protein (pGEX-1 λ T L1-Ig6) as template. Briefly, oligonucleotides corresponding to the sense and antisense sequences of bases 1,654–1,682 of the L1 ORF, which included a change from A to C at base 1,666 (sense: 5'-CCT GGC GTG GGG CCG GTC GAG ACC TCC CCA AG-3'; antisense: 5'-CTG GAG GTC TCG ACC GGC CCC ACG CCA GG-3') were annealed to a heat-denatured template, and the construct was replicated using *Pfu* DNA polymerase for 18 cycles. The resulting mixture was digested with the methylation-dependent endonuclease DpnI to degrade the wild-type template. Supercompetent *E. coli* strain XL-1 blue cells were transformed with this construct by heat shock and resulting colonies were screened and sequenced as described above.

To generate the mutant L1-Ig6 RGD→KGE the primers for an equivalent L1-Ig6 construct (forward primer: 5'-TGG GAT CCA GAT CAC TCA GGG GC-3'; and the reverse primer: 5'-GCG AAT TCT GGG ATC CCG GCC CAG GGC TCC CCA C-3') encoding for amino acids 518–614, were used in conjunction with the mutagenic primers 5'-CAT CAC CTG GAA GGG GGA GGG TCG AGA CC-3' and 5'-GTA GTG GAC CTT CCC CCT CCC AGC TCT GG-3' in the four primer method (Higuchi, 1990). The amplified product was digested with BamHI and subcloned into this site of pGEX-3X for expression in the *E. coli* strain JM101. The nucleotide sequence of the insert was confirmed by double-stranded DNA sequencing using the T7 Sequencing[™] kit (Pharmacia Biotech Sevrage).

Purification of the recombinant fusion proteins was performed using isopropylthio- β -D-galactoside-induced log-phase cultures essentially as described by the manufacturer for the GST Gene Fusion System (Pharmacia Biotech Sevrage). Briefly, recovered bacteria were lysed by sonication and incubated with detergent before clarification and immobilization of the recombinant protein on a Sepharose 4B-coupled, glutathione affinity matrix (Pharmacia Biotech Sevrage). After extensive washing, the GST fusion proteins were eluted from the matrix with 10 mM reduced glutathione in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, and dialyzed extensively against PBS before use. The fusion proteins were subject to SDS-PAGE to confirm the correct mobility and to confirm purity.

Flow Cytometry

Integrin expression was assessed by FACS[®] analysis. Subconfluent cultures were harvested and stained with anti-integrin mAbs at 20 μ g/ml or polyclonals diluted 1:40. The cells were then treated with an anti-mouse or anti-rabbit IgG, FITC-conjugated antibody, and were analyzed with a FACScan[®] flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, Co., Mountain View, CA). Control cells were treated with secondary FITC-conjugated antibody only.

Adhesion Assays

Adhesion experiments were performed as detailed by Lagenaur and Lemmon (1987) with some modifications. Purified L1-GST fusion proteins (L1-Ig6 or L1-Ig4-6) dialyzed into PBS were spotted (1- μ l spots) and

coated onto the bottom of 96-well Titertek plates (ICN Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Costa Mesa, CA) as described (Montgomery et al., 1996). Unless otherwise stated, the fusion proteins were offered at a concentration of 40 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. Treated and control wells were blocked with 5% BSA for 1–3 h at 37°C. For adhesion studies involving immobilized peptides, wells were pre-coated overnight with murine IgG2a antibody at 20 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. Antibody-treated and washed wells were then incubated with the heterobifunctional cross-linker, *N*-succinimidyl 3-(2-pyridylthio)propionate (SPDP), at 30 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ in PBS for 45 min. These wells were then washed and peptides added at 100–200 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ for 2–3 h. Control wells received antibody and SPDP alone. Treated and control wells were blocked with 5% BSA for 1–3 h at 37°C.

CHO cells were harvested using EDTA (0.526 mM) in PBS (versene; Irvine Scientific, Santa Ana, CA) and ECV304 cells with a trypsin-versene mixture (Biowhitaker, Walkerville, MD). All the cells were then given a further wash with the EDTA solution to remove residual cations. The cells were then resuspended in adhesion buffer consisting of HBSS (without calcium and magnesium) supplemented with 10 mM HEPES, and BSA (0.2–1%), with the pH adjusted to 7.4. Divalent cations were added as indicated in the text and included MnCl_2 (0.4 mM), MgCl_2 (1–2 mM), and CaCl_2 (1–2 mM). Platelets were harvested and resuspended in HEPES-Tyrode's buffer as described above. For inhibition studies, the cells and platelets were pretreated with polyclonal antibodies (1:30 dilution), mAbs (80 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) or peptides (25 μM) for 30 min before the addition of both cells and inhibitors to pretreated wells. Cells were added at 10^6 /well and platelets at 5×10^6 /well, and then these plates were spun at 700 rpm to give a continuous monolayer of cells or platelets on the floor of each well. Endothelial cells and CHO cells were allowed to adhere for 30–40 min at 37°C, while the platelets were allowed to adhere for 10 min. At the end of the assay the wells were carefully washed with PBS, and non-adherent cells removed under a constant vacuum. Remaining adherent cells were fixed with 1% paraformaldehyde, and enumerated with the aid of an inverted light microscope. Cells were counted per unit area using a $\times 15$ high powered objective and an ocular grid with a minimum of four areas counted per well. Alternatively, adherent cells or platelets were stained for 20 min with 1% crystal violet in 0.1 M borate, pH 9.0. Dye was eluted with 10% acetic acid and its absorbance determined at 600 nm. All experimental treatments were performed in triplicate.

Immunohistochemistry

Frozen sections of normal human skin, squamous cell carcinoma, psoriatic skin, and synovial tissue from the knee joint of patients diagnosed with rheumatoid arthritis were stained for the L1 antigen using mAb 5G3 or for $\alpha_v\beta_3$ expression using mAb LM609. Frozen sections were fixed in cold acetone before removal of endogenous peroxidase with 0.03% H_2O_2 . Sections were subsequently blocked with 10% goat serum and 1% BSA in PBS. Primary antibodies were overlaid onto tissue sections at 20 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. Control sections were treated with isotype-matched murine IgG at 20 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. Sections were incubated with primary antibodies for 30–60 min at room temperature or overnight at 4°C, and after extensive washing were treated with a secondary anti-mouse IgG biotinylated antibody (LSAB kit; Dako Corp., Carpinteria, CA) for 30 min. After further washing, tissue sections were treated with peroxidase-labeled streptavidin for an additional 30 min. To achieve red or blue-black color the sections were treated with 3-amino-9-ethylcarbazole (AEC) or Vector VIP substrate (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA), respectively. For double staining, the first antigen ($\alpha_v\beta_3$) was revealed using one substrate (AEC), and the sections subsequently restained for the second antigen (L1) using a different substrate (Vector VIP).

Results

Characterization of CHO-K1 Cells and Transfectants

Currently, $\alpha_v\beta_3$ is the only integrin that has been shown to interact with human L1 (Ebeling et al., 1996; Montgomery et al., 1996; Duczmal et al., 1997; Pancook et al., 1997). To determine whether L1 is also a ligand for the platelet integrin $\alpha_{\text{IIb}}\beta_3$, we used a CHO cell line (A5) genetically altered to express human platelet $\alpha_{\text{IIb}}\beta_3$ (O'Toole et al., 1989, 1990; Frojmovic et al., 1991). To further determine whether $\alpha_{\text{IIb}}\beta_3$ needs to be in an active state to recognize

L1 we used a CHO cell line ($\alpha_{\text{IIb}}\alpha_L\Delta\beta_3$) transfected to express $\alpha_{\text{IIb}}\beta_3$ in a constitutively active state (O'Toole et al., 1994). Activation was achieved by chimerization of extracellular and transmembrane α_{IIb} with a cytoplasmic deletion mutant of the α_L integrin subunit (O'Toole et al., 1994). The integrin profile of these transfected cell lines and the CHO wild type was determined by flow cytometry using anti-hamster or anti-human integrin-specific antibodies (Fig. 1 A). A number of salient conclusions can be drawn from this analysis. First, both transfected cell lines have been successfully manipulated to express high levels of human $\alpha_{\text{IIb}}\beta_3$ (LJ-CP8 reactivity). Second, transfection of the human β_3 integrin subunit has also resulted in a pairing with endogenous hamster α_v and consequently expression of chimeric $\alpha_v\beta_3$ (LM609 reactivity). Finally, and of immediate relevance for this study, wild-type and transfected CHO express high levels of endogenous $\alpha_5\beta_1$ (PB-1 reactivity) and endogenous α_v integrin(s) (VNR reactivity).

Wild-Type and Transfected CHO Cells Display Concentration-dependent Adhesion to L1-Ig6

We have previously demonstrated that purified full-length L1 and a recombinant L1 fusion protein (L1-Ig4-6) can support the adhesion of melanoma cells via the integrin $\alpha_v\beta_3$ (Montgomery et al., 1996). We further proposed that it is the sixth Ig-like domain of human L1 that is likely to be relevant for such adhesion by virtue of the presence of a single RGD motif in this domain. Based on this proposition, and for the purposes of this study, we generated a fusion protein consisting of this L1 domain alone (i.e., L1-Ig6). As a first step, this L1-Ig6 recombinant protein was tested for its ability to support adhesion by wild-type and transfected CHO cells.

Importantly, the L1-Ig6 fusion protein supported significant concentration-dependent adhesion by all three CHO cell lines (Fig. 1 B). Furthermore, transfected CHO cells exhibited greater adhesion than the wild-type CHO-K1 cells (Fig. 1 B). These data clearly indicate the importance of the sixth Ig-like domain of L1 for mediating cellular adhesion, and also suggest that transfection and expression of β_3 integrins ($\alpha_v\beta_3$ and/or $\alpha_{\text{IIb}}\beta_3$) can lead to enhanced binding to L1-Ig6. Significantly, CHO cells bearing the active $\alpha_{\text{IIb}}\beta_3$ ($\alpha_{\text{IIb}}\alpha_L\Delta\beta_3$ cells) showed the greatest level of adhesion, particularly at lower L1-Ig6 concentrations (Fig. 1 B). When offered at saturating concentrations (i.e., $>50 \mu\text{g/ml}$), L1-Ig6 supported $>90\%$ attachment of the $\alpha_{\text{IIb}}\alpha_L\Delta\beta_3$ cells in contact with the substrate, resulting in a continuous monolayer of spreading cells and these cells were resistant to detachment by the large shear forces generated during the washing of the 96-well plates. When offered at a saturating concentration, vitronectin gave an equivalent response (data not shown).

Wild-type CHO-K1 Cells Interact with L1-Ig6 Using $\alpha_5\beta_1$ and an α_v Integrin(s) and These Heterophilic Interactions Are Differentially Regulated by Divalent Cations

From the data presented in Fig. 1 B it is evident that the wild-type CHO-K1 cells can interact with the L1-Ig6 fusion protein. To characterize this wild type adhesion we looked for evidence of either β_1 or α_v integrin involvement.




Figure 1. (A) Integrin profiles of wild-type CHO-K1 cells, of CHO cells transfected with α_{11b} and β_3 subunits (A5) and of CHO cells transfected to express active $\alpha_{11b}\beta_3$ ($\alpha_{11b}\alpha_L\Delta\beta_3$ cells). (B) Concentration-dependent adhesion of CHO-K1, A5, and $\alpha_{11b}\alpha_L\Delta\beta_3$ cells to L1-Ig6. (A) Integrin expression is represented by FACS[®] histograms. Cells were treated with antibodies to hamster $\alpha_5\beta_1$ (monoclonal PB1), to human $\alpha_v\beta_3$ (mAb LM609), to human $\alpha_{11b}\beta_3$ (mAb LJ-CP8), to hamster or goat α_v or β_3 integrin subunits (polyclonal VNR), or to human β_3 integrins (mAb 7E3). These cells were subsequently stained with fluorescein-conjugated goat anti-mouse or goat anti-rabbit antibodies and were analyzed using a FACScan[®] flow cytometer. Control cells were treated with secondary fluorescein-conjugated antibody only. (B) Wild-type or transfected cells were allowed to adhere to immobilized L1-Ig6 fusion protein offered at concentrations ranging from 1 to 100 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. After 30 min non-adherent cells were removed by washing and the remaining adherent cells counted per unit area with a $\times 15$ high powered objective. Experimental treatments were performed in triplicate with a minimum of four areas counted per well. No significant adhesion was observed on GST alone and any minimal residual adhesion to BSA-blocked plastic alone has been subtracted from the cell counts shown. Error bars represent ± 1 SD.

In the presence of Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , and Mn^{2+} , CHO-K1 cell adhesion was completely abrogated by a VNR polyclonal antibody, indicating the involvement of one or more α_v integrins (Fig. 2 A, left). Despite high levels of $\alpha_5\beta_1$ expression, a function blocking mAb specific for hamster $\alpha_5\beta_1$ (PB1) had no impact on adhesion in this cation environment (Fig. 2 A, left). However, in the presence of




Figure 2. Wild-type CHO-K1 cells adhere to L1-Ig6 using an α_v integrin(s) and $\alpha_5\beta_1$, and these heterophilic interactions are critically and differentially regulated by exogenous Ca^{2+} . (A) Wild-type cells were allowed to adhere to immobilized L1-Ig6 fusion protein in the presence of Ca^{2+} (2 mM), Mg^{2+} (2 mM), and Mn^{2+} (0.4 mM), or in the presence of Mn^{2+} alone (0.4 mM). Some cells were pretreated with antibody to human $\alpha_v\beta_3$ (mAb LM609; 80 $\mu\text{g/ml}$), to hamster $\alpha_5\beta_1$ (PB1 ascites 1:30) or to VNR (polyclonal anti-VNR; 1:30), or a combination of antibodies. The level of control adhesion achieved in the absence of inhibitors is taken as 100%. In the presence of mixed cations this was equivalent to 82 adherent cells per field and in the presence of Mn^{2+} alone this was equivalent to 255 adherent cells per field. *Both*, a combination of the two preceding antibodies. (B) Wild-type cells were allowed to adhere to immobilized L1-Ig6 fusion protein in the presence of Mn^{2+} (0.4 mM) alone or in the presence of Mn^{2+} (0.4 mM) and increasing concentrations of Ca^{2+} (0.5–2 mM). Cells were pretreated with antibody to hamster $\alpha_5\beta_1$ (PB1 ascites 1:30) or to VNR (polyclonal anti-VNR; 1:30). (C) Wild-type cells were allowed to adhere to immobilized L1-Ig6 fusion protein in the presence of Ca^{2+} (1 mM) alone, Mg^{2+} (1 mM) alone or Mn^{2+} (0.4 mM) alone. The L1-Ig6 fusion protein was offered at a concentration of 40 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. Cells were allowed to adhere for 30–40 min in the presence or absence of the antibodies, and adherent cells counted per unit area with a $\times 15$ high powered objective. Experimental treatments were performed in triplicate with a minimum of four areas counted per well. Error bars represent ± 1 SD.

alone, CHO-K1 adhesion to L1-Ig6 could only be abrogated using a combination of VNR polyclonal antibody and the anti- $\alpha_5\beta_1$ mAb PB1 (Fig. 2 A, right). This finding indicates that in the presence of Mn^{2+} alone, $\alpha_5\beta_1$ can also recognize human L1-Ig6. However, it is also clear that binding by $\alpha_5\beta_1$ must be acutely susceptible to inhibition

by either Ca^{2+} or Mg^{2+} since, as stated, we did not observe $\alpha_5\beta_1$ involvement when all three cations were present in the adhesion buffer (Fig. 2 A, left).

Since Ca^{2+} has been shown to inhibit β_1 integrin ligation (Kirchhofer, 1991; Mould et al., 1995) we determined whether this cation was responsible for a lack of $\alpha_5\beta_1$ involvement in a mixed cation environment (Fig. 2 A, left). To this end, CHO-K1 cells were allowed to adhere to L1-Ig6 in the presence of Mn^{2+} and increasing concentrations of exogenous Ca^{2+} . To discriminate between $\alpha_5\beta_1$ and α_v integrin-dependent binding, the cells were treated with either PB1 or anti-VNR antibody. Using this approach, a significant inverse correlation was observed between the concentration of exogenous Ca^{2+} and $\alpha_5\beta_1$ -dependent binding (Fig. 2 B) such that the addition of 2 mM calcium reduced the level of $\alpha_5\beta_1$ -dependent binding by >80% (Fig. 2 B). In contrast, the same concentration of calcium reduced the α_v integrin(s)-dependent binding by only 20%.

It is important to note that when the divalent cations were added to the adhesion buffer individually only Mn^{2+} could support significant wild-type CHO-K1 adhesion (Fig. 2 C). Together these findings indicate, not only an absolute requirement for Mn^{2+} , but also a pivotal role for Ca^{2+} in the differential regulation of integrin binding to L1.

CHO Transfectants Use Both $\alpha_v\beta_3$ and Activated $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ to Interact with L1-Ig6

Thus far, it has been shown that the CHO transfectants used in this study have been successfully manipulated to express significant levels of both $\alpha_v\beta_3$ and $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ (Fig. 1 A) and that these same cells have an increased ability to bind to the sixth Ig-like domain of L1 (Fig. 1 B). A further series of experiments was performed to determine whether these β_3 integrins can indeed recognize L1 and, if so, how the binding of these integrins is regulated.

In contrast to the situation with the wild-type CHO-K1 cells, cells transfected to express $\alpha_v\beta_3$ and $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ (A5 and $\alpha_{IIb}\alpha_L\Delta\beta_3$ cells) were able to recognize L1 in the presence of either Ca^{2+} alone or Mg^{2+} alone (Fig. 3 vs. Fig. 2 C). Most importantly, this de novo adhesion could be attributed to both the chimeric $\alpha_v\beta_3$ and active $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$. Thus, CHO cells engineered to express active $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ ($\alpha_{IIb}\alpha_L\Delta\beta_3$ cells) showed significant adhesion to L1-Ig6 in the presence of Ca^{2+} or Mg^{2+} , and this adhesion could only be significantly reduced using a combination of antibodies to both $\alpha_v\beta_3$ and $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ (Fig. 3, bottom). It is important to note, that in the presence of Ca^{2+} alone, $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ -dependent binding to L1-Ig6 was only evident in the CHO cells engineered to express active $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ ($\alpha_{IIb}\alpha_L\Delta\beta_3$ cells). Thus, in A5 cells bearing $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ in its resting state, adhesion to L1-Ig6 in the presence of Ca^{2+} was fully inhibited by mAb LM609 (i.e., $\alpha_v\beta_3$ dependent) with no evident contribution by $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ (Fig. 3, top left). This finding implies that $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ -dependent recognition of L1 requires this integrin to be in its activated state. However, it is interesting to note that Mg^{2+} alone is sufficient to activate $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ binding by the A5 cells. Thus, the adhesion of these cells, in the presence of Mg^{2+} alone, could only be abrogated using antibodies to both $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ and $\alpha_v\beta_3$ (Fig. 3, top right). Because of endogenous α_v integrin and $\alpha_5\beta_1$ binding, adhesion in the presence of Mn^{2+} could only be abrogated using a combination




Figure 3. Both $\alpha_v\beta_3$ and activated $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ can support attachment to L1-Ig6 and such ligation can occur in the presence of Ca^{2+} or Mg^{2+} alone. CHO cells transfected with the human α_{IIb} and β_3 subunits (A5 cells) or transfected to express active $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ ($\alpha_{IIb}\alpha_L\Delta\beta_3$ cells) were allowed to adhere to immobilized L1-Ig6 fusion protein in the presence of Ca^{2+} (2 mM), or Mg^{2+} (2 mM) alone. Some cells were pretreated with antibody to human $\alpha_v\beta_3$ (mAb LM609; 80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$), or to human $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ (mAb LJ-CP8; 80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$) or with a combination of antibodies. The L1-Ig6 was offered at 40 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$. Cells were allowed to adhere for 40 min in the presence or absence of the antibodies, and adherent cells counted per unit area with a $\times 15$ high powered objective. Both, a combination of the two preceding antibodies. Experimental treatments were performed in triplicate with a minimum of four areas counted per well. Error bars represent ± 1 SD.

of polyclonal antibodies to both $\alpha_5\beta_1$ and β_3 integrins (VNR and PB1, data not shown). The ability of the different cations to support adhesion of the transfected cell lines was in the order $\text{Mn}^{2+} > \text{Mg}^{2+} > \text{Ca}^{2+}$ (Fig. 3; Mn^{2+} not shown).

Platelets Interact with L1-Ig6 Via Activated $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$

Having identified activated $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ as a heterophilic ligand for L1-Ig6 in our CHO model we wished to determine whether this integrin will also mediate platelet attachment. An interaction between L1- and platelet $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ would then suggest a novel physiological function in thrombogenic processes. In this regard, L1 could contribute either as a cellular ligand expressed on myelomonocytic cells (Pancook et al., 1997), metastatic neuroectodermal tumors (Linnemann et al., 1989), or endothelial cells, or as a shed ligand in solution or associated with subcellular matrix (Martini and Schachner, 1986; Poltorak et al., 1990; Montgomery et al., 1996).

In adhesion assays comparable to those performed with the CHO cells we observed that L1-Ig4-6, L1-Ig6, and the immobilized L1-derived peptide (C)PSITWRGDGRDL-QEL could all support the rapid and significant attachment of activated platelets (Fig. 4, A-C). This adhesion was not affected by anti- $\alpha_v\beta_3$ mAb LM609 alone, but was





Figure 4. Activated $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ can mediate the attachment of platelets to immobilized L1 fusion proteins and the L1-derived peptide (C)PSITWRGDGRDLQEL. (A–C) Stimulated or unstimulated human platelets were allowed to adhere to immobilized L1 fusion proteins (L1-Ig4-6 or L1-Ig6; A and B) or to immobilized, L1-derived RGD peptide (C). Some of the platelets were pre-treated with antibody to $\alpha_v\beta_3$ (mAb LM609; 80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$), or to $\alpha_v\beta_3$ and $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ (mAb 7E3; 80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$). The platelets were allowed to adhere for 10 min in the presence or absence of the antibodies and the adherent platelets subsequently stained with crystal violet for quantification. Experimental treatments were performed in triplicate. Error bars represent ± 1 SD. (D and E) Photomicrographs of stimulated platelets adhering to L1-Ig6 in the absence (D) or presence of mAb 7E3 (E). Adherent platelets were stained with crystal violet and are confined to the circular area coated with the fusion protein. Individual platelets cannot be discriminated because of their small size and some aggregation. The platelets were allowed to adhere for 10 min in the presence or absence of 7E3. Any minimal residual adhesion to BSA-blocked plastic alone has been subtracted from the OD values shown. Bar, 300 μm .

abrogated by the mAb 7E3, which is a potent function-blocking antibody, specific for both $\alpha_v\beta_3$ and $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ (Fig. 4, A–C). The affinity of activated platelets for immobilized L1-Ig6, and the strong inhibitory effect of mAb 7E3, is also evident from the photomicrographs presented in Fig. 4, D and E. Together these findings are consistent with a role for platelet $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$. The contribution of $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ was also confirmed using the specific anti- $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ mAb LJ-CP8, but this mAb was generally less effective than 7E3 (data not shown). It is important to note that these results were only obtained using platelets bearing active $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ as a result of stimulation with ADP and epinephrine. Thus, unstimulated platelets, prepared from PGE₁-treated blood, showed only minimal adhesion to L1-Ig4-6 (Fig. 4 A). These data,

like the data obtained using the CHO cells, clearly indicate that $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ needs to be in an active conformation to bind L1. However, as described for other peptides, recognition of the L1-derived peptide was not fully dependent on platelet activation (Fig. 4 C). When offered at saturating concentrations, the L1 fusion proteins supported the rapid attachment of a uniform monolayer of platelets such that the majority of platelets offered and in contact with the substrate appeared to attach (Fig. 4 D). When used as a positive control, vitronectin supported comparable levels of platelet attachment (data not shown). However, as with L1-Ig4-6 significant attachment to vitronectin required platelet activation.

Endothelial Cells Interact with L1-Ig6 Using $\alpha_v\beta_3$ and $\alpha_v\beta_1$ and These Heterophilic Interactions Are Differentially Regulated by Divalent Cations

L1 is expressed on a variety of cell types known to interact with endothelium (Ebeling et al., 1996; Pancook et al., 1997); and shed L1 may also associate with components of the subendothelial matrix (Hall et al., 1997). This distribution raises the question of if and how endothelial cells interact with L1. To address this issue we used a transformed cell line (ECV304) derived from human umbilical vein endothelial cells (Hughes et al., 1996). These wild-type cells were found to express both $\alpha_v\beta_3$ and a high level of β_1 integrins (Fig. 5 A, left column). We also detected expression of $\alpha_v\beta_5$ (mAb P1F6) at a median fluorescence comparable to that found for $\alpha_v\beta_3$ (not shown). Consistent with our findings using the transfected CHO cells, we observed that endothelial $\alpha_v\beta_3$ can also promote adhesion to L1-Ig6 and this adhesion is evident in the presence of calcium (Fig. 5 B). Adhesion by these wild-type endothelial cells was only marginally inhibited by the anti- β_1 integrin mAb P4C10 (Fig. 5 B). A similar pattern of adhesion was obtained using primary human dermal microvascular endothelial cells (Clonetics, San Diego, CA) (data not shown).

Whereas the contribution of $\alpha_v\beta_3$ to a variety of vascular processes is well documented it is also clear that this integrin is either absent or only marginally expressed by quiescent endothelial cells (Brooks et al., 1994). Accordingly, we wished to determine whether L1 could also be recognized by $\alpha_v\beta_3$ -negative endothelial cells. To address this we exploited ECV304 endothelial cells that had been repeatedly FACS[®] sorted for a lack of $\alpha_v\beta_3$ expression. This approach proved successful, resulting in the generation of a stable population of $\alpha_v\beta_3$ -negative cells (Fig. 5 A, right column). The levels of $\alpha_v\beta_5$ and β_1 integrin expression in these cells remained unchanged (not shown; and Fig. 5 A, right column). Remarkably, these $\alpha_v\beta_3$ -negative cells also showed significant adhesion to L1-Ig6 (Fig. 5 C). However, in contrast to the wild-type cells, adhesion was unaffected by an antibody to $\alpha_v\beta_3$ (LM609) but was fully inhibited by a mAb to β_1 integrins (i.e., P4C10) (Fig. 5 C). Furthermore, such adhesion was also completely abrogated with an antibody specific for α_v integrins (Fig. 5 C). Together these results are consistent with an interaction between L1 and endothelial $\alpha_v\beta_1$.

Given that both $\alpha_v\beta_3$ and $\alpha_v\beta_1$ can interact with L1-Ig6, we wished to determine why $\alpha_v\beta_3$ is the dominant integrin in wild-type ECV304 cell adhesion (Fig. 5 B). In this re-




Figure 5. (A) Integrin profiles of wild-type ECV304 human umbilical vein endothelial cells or ECV304 cells repeatedly sorted for a lack of $\alpha_v\beta_3$ expression. (B and C) $\alpha_v\beta_3$ - and $\alpha_v\beta_1$ -dependent adhesion of wild-type or sorted ECV304 endothelial cells to L1-Ig6. (A) Integrin expression is represented by FACS[®] histograms. Cells were treated with antibodies to α_v integrins (mAb 17E6), to $\alpha_v\beta_3$ (mAb LM609), to α_v or β_3 integrin subunits (polyclonal VNR), or to β_1 integrins (mAb P4C10). These cells were subsequently stained with fluorescein-conjugated goat anti-mouse or goat anti-rabbit antibodies and were analyzed using a FACScan[®] flow cytometer. Control cells were treated with secondary fluorescein-conjugated antibody only. (B and C) Wild-type or sorted cells were allowed to adhere to immobilized L1-Ig6 fusion protein offered at 40 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. Adhesion was performed in the presence of Ca^{2+} (2 mM), Mg^{2+} (2 mM), and Mn^{2+} (0.4 mM). Some cells were pretreated with antibody to $\alpha_v\beta_3$ (mAb LM609; 80 $\mu\text{g/ml}$), to β_1 integrins (mAb P4C10; 80 $\mu\text{g/ml}$), to α_v integrins (mAb 17E6; 80 $\mu\text{g/ml}$), or with a combination of antibodies. After 40 min non-adherent cells were removed by washing and the remaining adherent cells counted per unit area with a $\times 15$ high powered objective. *Both*, a combination of the two preceding antibodies. Experimental treatments were performed in triplicate with a minimum of four areas counted per well. Error bars represent ± 1 SD.

gard, we tested the hypothesis that exogenous calcium may favor the use of $\alpha_v\beta_3$ rather than $\alpha_v\beta_1$. We observed a number of facts supporting this hypothesis. First, significant $\alpha_v\beta_1$ binding could be induced in the wild-type cells, but only in the presence of Mn^{2+} alone (Fig. 6 A). Thus, whereas wild-type ECV304 cell adhesion in the presence




Figure 6. Usage of $\alpha_v\beta_1$ by wild-type ECV304 human umbilical vein endothelial cells is dictated by a requirement for Mn^{2+} and by inhibition by Ca^{2+} . (A) Wild-type ECV304 endothelial cells were allowed to adhere to immobilized L1-Ig6 in the presence of Ca^{2+} alone (1 mM), Mg^{2+} alone (1 mM), or Mn^{2+} alone (0.4 mM). Some cells were pretreated with antibody to $\alpha_v\beta_3$ (mAb LM609; 80 $\mu\text{g/ml}$), to β_1 integrins (mAb P4C10; 80 $\mu\text{g/ml}$), to α_v integrins (mAb 17E6; 80 $\mu\text{g/ml}$), or with a combination of antibodies. (B) Wild-type or sorted endothelial cells were allowed to adhere to immobilized L1-Ig6 fusion protein in the presence of Mn^{2+} (0.4 mM) alone or in combination with increasing concentrations of Ca^{2+} (0.5–2 mM). After 40 min non-adherent cells were removed by washing and the remaining adherent cells counted per unit area with a $\times 15$ high powered objective. *Both*, refers to a combination of the two preceding antibodies. Experimental treatments were performed in triplicate with a minimum of four areas counted per well. Error bars represent ± 1 SD.

of Ca^{2+} could be abrogated with anti- $\alpha_v\beta_3$ mAb LM609 (Fig. 5 B), the adhesion of these cells in the presence of Mn^{2+} alone could only be blocked using a combination of antibodies reactive with both $\alpha_v\beta_3$ and $\alpha_v\beta_1$ (i.e., LM609 and P4C10), or with a mAb reactive with both α_v integrins (i.e., 17E6) (Fig. 6 A, right). Second, minimal wild-type adhesion was observed in the presence of Ca^{2+} or Mg^{2+} alone and this appeared to be fully dependent upon $\alpha_v\beta_3$ (Fig. 6 A). Finally, in an experiment analogous to that performed with the wild-type CHO cells (Fig. 2 B), we observed that the $\alpha_v\beta_1$ -mediated (Mn^{2+} -dependent) adhesion of the sorted, $\alpha_v\beta_3$ -negative endothelial cells was significantly more susceptible to inhibition by exogenous Ca^{2+} than the wild-type adhesion (Fig. 6 B). Together these data indicate that in the absence of $\alpha_v\beta_3$ expression, quiescent endothelial cells may use $\alpha_v\beta_1$ to bind to L1,

however with the induction of $\alpha_v\beta_3$ expression, physiological levels of calcium are likely to favor the use of this integrin. It is also clear that whereas $\alpha_v\beta_1$ -mediated adhesion is susceptible to inhibition by exogenous Ca^{2+} , this inhibition is less pronounced than that seen when adhesion is mediated via $\alpha_5\beta_1$ (Fig. 2 B).

The Interaction between L1-Ig6 and the β_3 or β_1 Integrins Is RGD-dependent

Thus far we have demonstrated that a single Ig-like domain of L1 can support multiple integrin interactions. This same domain contains an RGD integrin recognition motif that may provide the binding site for all the aforementioned integrins. However it is also clear that a given RGD site may or may not support adhesion depending on flanking sequences, conformational restraints and accessibility (D'Souza et al., 1991; Haas and Plow, 1994). Furthermore, although $\alpha_v\beta_3$, $\alpha_5\beta_1$, $\alpha_v\beta_1$, and $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ have all been reported to interact with RGD motifs, $\alpha_5\beta_1$ and the β_3 integrins have also been shown to interact with non-RGD sequences (Koivunen et al., 1994). To help address this issue we generated a 15-mer peptide based on a sequence in the sixth Ig-like domain of L1 and inclusive of the RGD recognition motif (i.e., PSITWRGDGRDLQEL). This peptide was tested for its efficacy both as an immobilized ligand and as soluble inhibitor of L1 integrin binding.

Supporting the concept of a RGD-dependent interaction we observed that our L1-RGD peptide, once immobilized, could support significant endothelial cell attachment via $\alpha_v\beta_3$, and $\alpha_v\beta_1$ (Fig. 7, *Endothelial*) and CHO cell attachment via endogenous $\alpha_5\beta_1$ and transfected $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ (Fig. 7, *CHO*). Specific integrin-peptide interactions were confirmed using the function blocking antibodies indicated (Fig. 7). When offered at the same concentration, a control peptide (C)PSITWRADGRDLQEL was ineffective as an adhesive ligand. However, it should be noted that because of the conservative glycine to alanine mutation (i.e.,

RGD→RAD) this control peptide could also support some attachment but only at significantly higher concentrations (not shown).

Further support for RGD-dependent interaction with L1 was obtained using the same L1-RGD peptide as a soluble inhibitor. At a concentration of 25 μM , the peptide effectively abrogated endothelial cell adhesion to L1-Ig6 in the presence of Mn^{2+} alone (Fig. 8, *left*). We have previously demonstrated that adhesion by these cells in the presence of this cation involves both $\alpha_v\beta_3$ and $\alpha_v\beta_1$ (Fig. 6 A, *right*). Likewise, we also observed a complete inhibition of wild-type CHO-K1 adhesion in the presence of Mn^{2+} (Fig. 8, *middle*). According to our previous data, this is consistent with an inhibition of endogenous $\alpha_5\beta_1$ and the α_v integrin(s) (Fig. 2 A, *right*). Adhesion by the CHO cells transfected to express active $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ was less sensitive to inhibition via the RGD peptide when used at 25 μM (Fig. 8, *right*), but could be fully inhibited at higher peptide concentrations (not shown). Used at an equivalent concentration our control peptide was ineffective at preventing adhesion. But again because of the conservative mutation of this peptide (i.e., RGD→RAD) this peptide could also inhibit attachment at high concentrations (e.g., 250 μM).

Aforementioned work with the L1-RGD peptide supports the concept of a RGD-dependent interaction between integrins and L1. However, to address this issue definitively, we sought to demonstrate that mutation of the RGD site in L1-Ig6 is sufficient to abrogate integrin binding. To this end, we generated additional L1-Ig6 fusion proteins containing the mutations RGD→KGE or RGD→RGA. Importantly, the conservative RGD→KGE mutation completely abrogated $\alpha_v\beta_3$ - and $\alpha_v\beta_1$ -dependent binding by the endothelial cells (Fig. 9, *Endothelial*). This same mutation and the RGD→RGA mutation also significantly abrogated $\alpha_5\beta_1$ -dependent binding by wild-type CHO cells (Fig. 9, *CHO, wild-type*). Some residual low level binding to both mutations was still observed, perhaps indicating that the mutated sequences can still be recognized to some




Figure 7. All of the integrins characterized can recognize the L1-derived peptide (C)PSITWRGDGRDLQEL. Wild-type or sorted ECV304 endothelial cells and wild-type or transfected CHO cells ($\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ cells) were allowed to adhere to immobilized 16-mer peptide (C)PSITWRGDGRDLQEL or to control peptide (C)PSITWRADGRDLQEL. Adhesion was performed in the presence of Ca^{2+} (2 mM), Mg^{2+} (2 mM), and Mn^{2+} (0.4 mM) or in the presence of Mg^{2+} (1 mM) alone, or Mn^{2+} alone (0.4 mM). Some cells were pretreated with antibody to human $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ (mAb LJ-CP8; 80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$), to $\alpha_v\beta_3$ (mAb LM609; 80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$), to β_1 integrins (mAb P4C10; 80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$), to α_v integrins (mAb 17E6; 80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$), to hamster $\alpha_5\beta_1$ (PB1 ascites 1:30) or to VNR (polyclonal anti-VNR; 1:30), or with a combination of antibodies. After 40 min, non-adherent cells were removed by washing and the remaining adherent cells stained with crystal violet. Both, a combination of the two preceding antibodies. Experimental treatments were performed in triplicate with a minimum of four areas counted per well. Error bars represent ± 1 SD.




Figure 8. Integrin-dependent adhesion to L1-Ig6 can be abrogated or reduced using soluble L1-derived peptide PSITWRGDGRDLQEL. Wild-type ECV304 endothelial cells and wild-type or transfected CHO cells ($\alpha_{IIb}\alpha_L\Delta\beta_3$ cells) were allowed to adhere to immobilized L1-Ig6. Some cells were pretreated and subsequently adhered in the presence of the soluble peptide PSITWRGDGRDLQEL (25 μ M) or the control peptide PSITWRADGRDLQEL (25 μ M). Some CHO cells were pretreated with antibody to human $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ (mAb LJ-CP8; 80 μ g/ml). Adhesion was performed in the presence of Mg^{2+} (1 mM) alone, or Mn^{2+} alone (0.4 mM). After 40 min, non-adherent cells were removed by washing and the remaining adherent cells counted per unit area with a $\times 15$ high powered objective. The level of control adhesion achieved in the absence of peptide inhibitors was taken as 100%. In the case of wild-type endothelial and CHO cells this was equivalent to 188 and 160 adherent cells per field, respectively; in the case of the CHO cells bearing active $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$, this was equivalent to 232 adherent cells per field. Experimental treatments were performed in triplicate with a minimum of four areas counted per well. Results are expressed as a percent of the adhesion observed in the absence of peptide. Error bars represent ± 1 SD.




Figure 9. Mutation of the RGD sequence in the sixth Ig-like domain of L1 abrogates binding by $\alpha_v\beta_3$, $\alpha_v\beta_1$, and $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ and reduces binding mediated by $\alpha_5\beta_1$. Wild-type or sorted ECV304 endothelial cells and wild-type or transfected CHO cells ($\alpha_{IIb}\alpha_L\Delta\beta_3$ cells), were allowed to adhere to immobilized L1-Ig6 or to L1-Ig6 containing the mutated sequences RGD \rightarrow KGE or RGD \rightarrow RGA. Adhesion was performed in the presence of Ca^{2+} (2 mM), Mg^{2+} (2 mM), and Mn^{2+} (0.4 mM), or in the presence of Mg^{2+} (1 mM) alone, or Mn^{2+} alone (0.4 mM). Some cells were pretreated with antibody to human $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ (mAb LJ-CP8; 80 μ g/ml). After 40 min, non-adherent cells were removed by washing and the remaining adherent cells counted per unit area with a $\times 15$ high powered objective. The level of control adhesion achieved on the wild-type fusion protein was taken as 100%. In the case of wild-type and

sorted endothelial cells this was equivalent to 168 and 127 adherent cells per field, respectively, in the case of the wild-type CHO cells this was equivalent to 82 (mixed cations) and 203 (Mn^{2+} alone) adherent cells per field and in the case of CHO cells bearing active $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ this was equivalent to 224 cells per field. Experimental treatments were performed in triplicate with a minimum of four areas counted per well. Results are expressed as a percent of the adhesion observed on wild-type L1-Ig6. Error bars represent ± 1 SD.

degree by $\alpha_5\beta_1$. Alternatively, a second $\alpha_5\beta_1$ -binding motif may exist within the L1-Ig6 domain. Interestingly the RGD \rightarrow KGE mutation could still be recognized to a significant level by $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ (Fig. 9, CHO, Active $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$). However, the alanine substitution mutant (RGA) was sufficient to fully abrogate $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ -mediated binding.

L1 Expression Can Be Induced on Endothelial Cells In Vivo

We have identified a variety of endothelial and platelet integrins that can interact with the sixth Ig-like domain of L1. Such heterophilic interactions prompted us to determine whether L1 can be expressed on endothelial cells. This expression would suggest the potential for L1 integrin interactions in vascular processes such as angiogenesis and thrombosis; these are processes that require homotypic or heterotypic (platelet) interactions involving endothelial cells.

To address this issue, we looked for L1 expression on normal or quiescent blood vessels and on activated or angiogenic vessels associated with neoplastic or inflammatory diseases. In normal human skin, L1 was absent or minimally expressed by the dermal vessels (Fig. 10 G). However, significant expression of L1 was observed on vessels proximal to a squamous cell carcinoma (Fig. 10, A and B). These proximal vessels also expressed high levels of $\alpha_v\beta_3$ (Fig. 10 C); a documented marker of angiogenic blood vessels (Brooks et al., 1994). Importantly, $\alpha_v\beta_3$ and its heterophilic ligand L1, could be colocalized on these angiogenic blood vessels (Fig. 10, D and E). It is important to note, that L1 was not detected on all the angiogenic vessels identified by $\alpha_v\beta_3$ (Fig. 10, D and E); and that whereas $\alpha_v\beta_3$ expression was evident on intra-tumor vessels, L1 was only detected on angiogenic vessels in normal skin tissue peripheral to the tumor (Fig. 10 F). This pattern of expression may indicate the induction of L1 expression during a limited phase of blood vessel maturation. In a preliminary analysis, we did not detect L1 on vessels associated with ei-




Figure 10. L1 expression can be induced on blood vessels. L1 expression associated with vessels proximal to a squamous cell carcinoma (A and B). L1 staining was performed using the anti-L1 mAb 5G3 and the substrate AEC to give a red stain. L1 is evident on the small vessels in the dermis (arrows). Vessels proximal to the tumor also expressed $\alpha_v\beta_3$; a marker of angiogenic blood vessels (C). Staining for $\alpha_v\beta_3$ was performed using LM609 and the substrate AEC to give a red stain. Vascular L1 and $\alpha_v\beta_3$ expression could be colocalized on a subset of vessels (D and E). Vessels staining for $\alpha_v\beta_3$ are red (AEC), whereas vessels also costaining with L1 (arrow) are darker brown to blue-black (AEC and VIP). Angiogenic vessels expressing L1 were detected in normal dermis between the tumor mass (left arrow) and the epidermis (right arrow) (F). The tumor and epidermis are stained for cytokeratin (F). Vessels in the dermis of normal human skin were found to be negative or very weakly positive for L1 (G). Vessels in synovial tissue derived from the joint of a patient with rheumatoid arthritis also showed evidence of L1 expression (H). L1 staining was performed using the anti-L1 antibody 5G3 and the substrate AEC to give a red stain. Bars: (A) 100 μm ; (B) 50 μm ; (C and D) 20 μm ; (E) 25 μm ; (F) 200 μm ; (G and H) 100 μm .

ther breast or lung tumors suggesting that induction of vascular L1 may be tissue or organ specific.

Interestingly, expression of vascular L1 was also observed in synovial tissues obtained from three out of five patients diagnosed with rheumatoid arthritis (Fig. 10 H). In a preliminary study, L1 was also detected on vessels in psoriatic skin (not shown). Furthermore, whereas we detected little or no L1 expression on cultured human dermal microvascular endothelial cells (Clonetics) we did detect significant L1 levels on the surface of the ECV304 endothelial cell line (data not shown). Together these findings may indicate that de novo L1 expression can be induced on endothelial cells as a result of stimulation by specific, tumor-associated or inflammatory cytokines. Such vascular L1 may then function as a receptor either for itself or for the vascular and platelet integrins identified in this study.

Discussion

In this work we have detailed the interaction between a single Ig-like domain within L1 and multiple integrins including $\alpha_v\beta_3$, $\alpha_v\beta_1$, $\alpha_5\beta_1$, and $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$. To our knowledge this is the first observation that both $\alpha_v\beta_1$ and $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ can interact with a member of the IgSF. Indeed, L1 may be unique

within this family in its capacity to interact with multiple RGD-dependent integrins. Key structural and regulatory issues have also been addressed including the central importance of a single RGD motif and the critical regulatory effect of physiological levels of calcium. Based on these novel integrin-CAM interactions, and the novel observation that L1 can be expressed on blood vessels under various pathogenic conditions, we propose that L1 may have an expanded and unexpected role in various vascular and thrombogenic processes.

The β_3 and β_1 integrins identified in this study as heterophilic receptors for the sixth Ig-like domain of L1 share a collective ability to recognize RGD motifs within their respective ligands (D'Souza et al., 1991; Haas and Plow, 1994; Marshall et al., 1995). In this regard, the single RGD sequence present in L1-Ig6 (Reid and Hemperly, 1992) would appear to be a legitimate putative recognition motif. However, it is also apparent that the conformational and sequential environment of a given RGD site and its accessibility will ultimately dictate whether it can truly function as a recognition motif for a given integrin. Furthermore, it is now widely documented that non-RGD motifs can also be recognized by $\alpha_v\beta_3$, $\alpha_5\beta_1$, and $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ (Koivunen et al., 1994). To demonstrate definitively that the single RGD sequence present in human L1 is indeed critical for binding

by $\alpha_v\beta_3$, $\alpha_v\beta_1$, $\alpha_5\beta_1$, and $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ we demonstrate that two mutations of this site in L1-Ig6 reduce or abrogate binding by all four of these integrins. Furthermore we demonstrate that a L1-RGD peptide with the relevant flanking sequences (i.e., PSITWRGDGRDLQEL) is effective both as an immobilized substrate and as a soluble inhibitor for all of the integrins identified.

As stated, the sequence environment of a given RGD site is important in determining the strength and specificity of integrin interactions (Kunicki et al., 1997). In the case of L1, the RGD site is flanked by both a tryptophan and a glycine (i.e., WRGDG). In this regard, phage display libraries have identified numerous $\alpha_v\beta_3$ and $\alpha_5\beta_1$ peptide ligands that include a glycine residue COOH-terminal to the RGD (Healy et al., 1995; and Koivunen et al., 1994). Interestingly, peptides with a strong affinity for $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ generally have a large hydrophobic residue COOH-terminal to the RGD (O'Neil et al., 1992). This preference may explain why at low concentrations our L1 peptide was more efficient at supporting attachment via $\alpha_v\beta_3$ than via $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ (data not shown). Integrin-binding peptides containing a tryptophan residue NH₂-terminal to the RGD have rarely been identified by phage display libraries, but one has been reported with specificity for $\alpha_v\beta_3$ (Healy et al., 1995). It is interesting to note, that although we detected significant $\alpha_v\beta_5$ expression on the ECV304 endothelial cells used in this study (data not shown), we found no evidence for an interaction between L1-Ig6 (or the L1-RGD peptide) and this RGD-dependent integrin (data not shown). This would suggest that the sequence environment of the RGD site in L1 is not suitable for recognition by $\alpha_v\beta_5$.

The conformational or stereochemical presentation of the RGD site is also a key element in dictating receptor recognition and affinity. Secondary structural analysis of RGD recognition motifs in fibronectin (FNIII₁₀) and Foot and Mouth Disease Virus support an emerging model of the RGD being presented at the apex of a flexible loop that extends outwards from the protein core. The side chains of Arg and Asp are purported to face away from each other and are flexible enough to adopt the proper conformation for high affinity integrin binding (Haas and Plow, 1994). By using a combination of electron microscopic analysis and computer-assisted modeling, Drescher et al. (1996) conclude that the RGD sites of murine L1, and the single conserved RGD motif of human L1, are exposed at the molecular surface in a loop or turn between two β -strands. Furthermore they suggest that in the context of the whole molecule, the sixth Ig-like domain of L1 possesses greater surface hydrophobicity than the other Ig-like domains suggesting that it, and contained RGD site(s), can participate in intermolecular interactions.

As a general rule, all integrins require divalent cations for ligand recognition (D'Souza et al., 1994) and two potential mechanisms have been proposed for this dependence. First, specific cations may induce a conformational change in the integrin that favors ligand binding (Mould et al., 1995). Second, the cation may be required to form a ternary complex with the ligand (e.g., RGD) and the integrin; this complex is envisaged to be an unstable but requisite intermediate with the cation eventually being displaced as the ligand-receptor complex stabilizes (D'Souza et al., 1994). Irrespective of the mechanism, it is now well

documented that different divalent cations can dramatically and differentially influence integrin-binding affinity and selection. The findings of this study provide a case in point. Thus, whereas we observed that Ca²⁺ is able to support a limited interaction between L1 and $\alpha_v\beta_3$ or $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$, this same cation profoundly suppressed a Mn²⁺-dependent interaction with $\alpha_5\beta_1$ or $\alpha_v\beta_1$. It is important to note, that such a dichotomy between these β_1 integrins and the β_3 integrins has been documented for other ligands. Thus, Ca²⁺ has been shown to support both $\alpha_v\beta_3$ or $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ attachment to RGD peptides or vitronectin (Kirchhofer, 1991; Suehiro et al., 1996), whereas this same cation suppresses the attachment of $\alpha_5\beta_1$ and $\alpha_v\beta_1$ to fibronectin and an RGD peptide, respectively (Kirchhofer, 1991; Mould et al., 1995). Recently, Suehiro et al. (1996) described a novel classification for defining β_3 ligands depending upon their ability to support the attachment of $\alpha_v\beta_3$ and/or $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ in the presence of different cations. Thus, class I β_3 ligands should be able to support attachment of both integrins either in the presence of Ca²⁺ or Mn²⁺. A class II ligand will also support attachment of $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ in the presence of either Ca²⁺ or Mn²⁺, but $\alpha_v\beta_3$ attachment is suppressed by Ca²⁺. Finally, class III ligands bind exclusively to $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ under all cation conditions, whereas class IV ligands bind exclusively to $\alpha_v\beta_3$. According to this classification scheme we propose that L1 is a novel class I ligand for β_3 integrins, together with vitronectin, RGD peptides and disintegrin group A (Suehiro et al., 1996).

From the findings presented it is probable that Ca²⁺ will act as a potent physiological regulator of L1-integrin interactions. Thus, given the presence or absence of a given integrin, physiological calcium concentrations are likely to favor a hierarchy of L1-integrin interaction such that $\alpha_v\beta_3$ or activated $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3 > \alpha_v\beta_1 > \alpha_5\beta_1$. This hierarchy is likely to be further compounded by transnegative dominance, a recently described phenomenon in which the ligation of a β_3 integrin has been shown to suppress the function of a β_1 integrin (Diaz-Gonzalez et al., 1996). It could legitimately be argued from our data that physiological levels of calcium would effectively preclude an interaction between $\alpha_5\beta_1$ and L1-Ig6. However, it is important to note that an interaction between $\alpha_5\beta_1$ and murine L1 has been observed in the presence of calcium but only after an undefined activation event after ligation of CD24 (heat stable antigen) (Kadmon et al., 1995). Thus, $\alpha_5\beta_1$ may indeed have a physiological role in L1 binding, but it is likely to be regulated by a requirement for additional activation signals.

The observation that L1 can support an RGD-dependent interaction with $\alpha_v\beta_3$ confirms our earlier study that demonstrated that melanoma cells can interact with either full-length L1 or an L1 fusion protein (L1-Ig4-6) via $\alpha_v\beta_3$ (Montgomery et al., 1996). The interaction between human L1 and $\alpha_v\beta_3$ has also been confirmed using lymphocytic cell lines (Ebeling et al., 1996). The finding that human L1-Ig6 can also interact with $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$, $\alpha_v\beta_1$, and $\alpha_5\beta_1$ has not (to our knowledge) been reported, and significantly expands the potential repertoire of heterophilic L1 interactions that this CAM can support and the range of cell types that may be involved. It is important to note that, contrary to our findings, a previous study did not detect any significant interaction between human L1 and $\alpha_5\beta_1$ (Ebeling et al., 1996). The most obvious explanation for this is the strict cation

requirement of this interaction and perhaps transnegative dominance by $\alpha_v\beta_3$. It should also be noted that our CHO cells express very high levels of $\alpha_5\beta_1$. The observation that human L1 can interact with $\alpha_5\beta_1$ is, however, in agreement with a report demonstrating an interaction between this integrin and murine L1 (Ruppert et al., 1995). In this regard, it is noteworthy that the sixth Ig-like domain of murine L1 contains an additional RGD sequence (LGD in human L1) and that this sequence, like the human motif, may well be available for interaction on an exposed loop (Drescher et al., 1996). It is conceivable that the absence of this second RGD sequence in humans may make it a less favorable ligand for $\alpha_5\beta_1$. However, it is also of interest that human L1 retains some additional non-RGD tripeptide sequences such as NGR (fibronectin [FN]-like domain 3), STF (FN-like domain 2), and ETA (Ig-like domain 4), which if exposed in the right stereochemical configuration, could augment the interaction between L1 and $\alpha_5\beta_1$. Thus, all three of these tripeptide sequences have been identified as important for the interaction of non-RGD, 7-mer peptides with $\alpha_5\beta_1$ (Koivunen et al., 1993).

L1 and the integrin counter receptors identified in this study are expressed on multiple cell types of diverse histological origin. This suggests the potential for a plethora of interactions and functions that have yet to be described. This is especially true given the observation that L1 expression is not strictly confined to cells of the nervous system. Thus, we and others have recently described L1 expression on human cells of both myelomonocytic and lymphoid origin (Ebeling et al., 1996; Pancook et al., 1997). L1 has also been described on epithelial cells of the intestine and urogenital tract (Thor et al., 1987; Kowitz et al., 1992; Kujat et al., 1995) and on transformed cells of diverse histological origin, including melanoma, neuroblastoma, embryonal carcinoma, osteogenic sarcoma, squamous lung carcinoma, squamous skin carcinoma, pheochromocytoma, rhabdomyosarcoma and retinoblastoma cell lines (Mujoo et al., 1986; Linnemann et al., 1989; Reid and Hemperly, 1992). Finally, in this study we have also shown that L1 expression can be induced on certain endothelial cells. This said, however, the extent to which L1-Ig6-integrin pairing contributes to either homotypic or heterotypic cell-cell interaction among these diverse cell types remains to be determined. It is important to note that Ruppert et al. (1995) have demonstrated that the interaction between murine L1 and $\alpha_5\beta_1$ can promote significant homotypic cell aggregation. It is also of interest that L1-integrin pairing may be modulated by other molecules that associate with L1 in the plane of the membrane such as CD24 (Kadmon et al., 1995; Ruppert et al., 1995). Conceivably, different *cis* interactions involving L1 may sterically or conformationally alter the accessibility of the RGD site thereby regulating L1-integrin interactions.

In the context of L1-integrin interactions, it is important to note, that L1 may be equally or more relevant as a substrate adhesion molecule. Thus, a number of studies have described incorporation of shed L1 into the occluding extracellular matrix (ECM). In the adrenal medulla, for example, L1 immunoreactivity has been found in the ECM adjacent to chromaffin cells (Poltorak et al., 1990). Martini and Schachner (1986) have reported L1 expression in basement membranes associated with murine Schwann

cells and in association with collagen fibrils of the endoneurium, and we have previously reported L1 expression associated with strands of intra-tumor laminin (Montgomery et al., 1996). Given these reports and our current findings, it is likely that deposition of this CAM will significantly alter the microenvironment of a cell to favor the kind of integrin interactions described in this study.

As stated, the capacity of L1 to interact with multiple integrins on cells of diverse histological origin may lead to a plethora of interactions that will add to the functional significance of this CAM. Two examples of this are provided in this study. First, we have demonstrated that L1-Ig6 can support significant platelet adhesion via $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$. Given the expression of L1 on endothelial cells, and myelomonocytic cells (Ebeling et al., 1996; Pancook et al., 1997), and the capacity of L1 to associate with basement membrane components (e.g., Laminin; Hall et al., 1997), one could predict a role for L1 in thrombogenic processes that involve these cell types or structures. Since L1 is also highly expressed on many neuroectodermal tumors, it may be that an interaction between L1 and active $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ will contribute to tumor-associated thrombosis: a process thought to be important for the lodgment step of hematogenous metastasis (Felding-Habermann et al., 1996). Second, we have also demonstrated a novel interaction between L1-Ig6 and endothelial cells via either vascular $\alpha_v\beta_3$ or $\alpha_v\beta_1$. It is conceivable that these interactions will contribute to the rolling, arrest, and/or attachment of L1-expressing cells on, or to, endothelium. This possibility is particularly intriguing given the expression of L1 on trafficking immune cells and metastatic tumor cell lines (Linnemann et al., 1989; Reid and Hemperly, 1992; Ebeling et al., 1996; Pancook et al., 1997). Our observation that L1 expression can be induced on blood vessels associated with certain neoplastic or inflammatory diseases may indicate a role for L1-integrin or L1-L1 interactions in the maturation of new blood vessels and/or reflect an induction of *de novo* L1 expression by inflammatory or tumor-associated cytokines.

Whereas the focus of this study has been on non-neural cell types, the data presented may have some interesting implications for neuronal processes. For example, both $\alpha_v\beta_3$ and $\alpha_v\beta_1$ have been implicated in avian neural crest cell adhesion and migration (Delannet et al., 1994). In a recent study, Milner et al. (1996) demonstrated the importance of $\alpha_v\beta_1$ for migration by oligodendrocyte precursors. Interestingly, the authors speculate that, among other ligands, L1 present within axonal tracts might serve as a potential $\alpha_v\beta_1$ ligand, providing a mechanism for guiding migrating oligodendrocytes. Whereas this is merely speculation, our data clearly support the potential for L1- $\alpha_v\beta_1$ interactions during neural development. At this stage, no evidence yet exists to show that L1-integrin interactions promote neurite extension or other neuronal processes involving L1; these processes appear to be primarily dependent upon a homophilic interaction. However, consideration needs to be given to the integrin repertoire of the cell being tested. For example, $\alpha_v\beta_1$ is expressed on oligodendrocyte precursors but is lost on differentiation. Similarly, attention needs to be given to the appropriate cation environment. Thus, it is evident from this study that expression of $\alpha_v\beta_1$ adhesion is dependent upon the presence of Mn^{2+} but is inhibited by Ca^{2+} .

The findings of this study extend the range and significance of L1-integrin interactions and add to our understanding of how these heterophilic interactions are regulated. In addition to the documented interaction between human L1 and $\alpha_v\beta_3$, we have demonstrated that this CAM is a relatively promiscuous ligand, supporting further novel heterophilic interactions with $\alpha_v\beta_1$, $\alpha_5\beta_1$, and $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$. It is further shown that these integrins share a collective ability to recognize a single RGD motif in the sixth Ig-like domain of human L1 and that the binding of these integrins to this motif is critically and differentially regulated by physiological levels of calcium. Based on the novel interactions involving $\alpha_v\beta_1$ and $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ we have shown that the sixth Ig-like domain of human L1 can support significant endothelial cell and platelet attachment. Based on these findings, and the observation that L1 expression can be induced on endothelial cells, we propose an expanded role for this CAM in vascular and thrombogenic processes.

The authors wish to thank Dr. R.A. Reisfeld of the Scripps Research Institute for his support and encouragement. This is Scripps manuscript No. 10821-IMM.

This study was supported by National Institutes of Health RO1 Grant CA69112-01 (A.M.P. Montgomery), by National Institutes of Health R29 Grant CA67988 (B. Felding-Habermann), and by the Medical Research Council of Canada (C-H. Siu). P. Yip is supported by an Ontario Graduate Studentship (Canada), and S. Silletti by a National Cancer Institute research fellowship (1/F32/CA72192-01).

Received for publication 2 May 1997 and in revised form 22 August 1997.

References

- Bateman, A., M. Jouet, J. Macfarlane, J.-S. Du, S. Kenrick, and C. Chothia. 1996. Outline structure of the human L1 cell adhesion molecule and the sites where mutations cause neurological disorders. *EMBO (Eur. Mol. Biol. Organ.) J.* 15:6050-6059.
- Bock, E.C., C. Richter-Landsberg, A. Faissner, and M. Schachner. 1985. Demonstration of immunohemical identity between the nerve growth factor-inducible large external (NILE) glycoprotein and the cell adhesion molecule L1. *EMBO (Eur. Mol. Biol. Organ.) J.* 4:2765-2768.
- Brooks, P.C., R.A. Clark, and D.A. Cheresh. 1994. Requirement of vascular integrin $\alpha_v\beta_3$ for angiogenesis. *Science*. 264:569-571.
- Brown, P.J., and R.L. Juliano. 1985. Selective inhibition of fibronectin-mediated adhesion by monoclonal antibodies to a cell surface glycoprotein. *Science*. 228:1448-1451.
- Cheresh, D.A., and R.C. Spiro. 1987. Biosynthetic and functional properties of an Arg-Gly-Asp-directed receptor involved in human melanoma cell attachment to vitronectin, fibrinogen and von Willebrand factor. *J. Biol. Chem.* 262:17703-17711.
- Coller B.S., J.D. Folts, L.E. Scudder, and S.R. Smith. 1986. Antithrombotic effect of a monoclonal antibody to the platelet glycoprotein IIb/IIIa receptor in an animal experimental model. *Blood*. 68:783-786.
- Delannet, M., F. Martin, B. Bossy, D.A. Cheresh, L.F. Reichardt, and J.-L. Duband. 1994. Specific roles of the $\alpha_v\beta_1$, $\alpha_v\beta_3$ and $\alpha_5\beta_1$ integrins in avian neural crest cell adhesion and migration on vitronectin. *Development (Camb.)*. 120:2687-2702.
- Diaz-Gonzalez, F., J. Forsyth, B. Steiner, and M.H. Ginsberg. 1996. Trans-dominant inhibition of integrin function. *Mol. Biol. Cell*. 7:1939-1951.
- Drescher, B., E. Spiess, M. Schachner, and R. Probstmeier. 1996. Structural analysis of the murine cell adhesion molecule L1 by electron microscopy and computer-assisted modelling. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 8:2467-2478.
- D'Souza, S.E., M.H. Ginsberg, and E.F. Plow. 1991. Arginyl-glycyl-aspartic acid (RGD): a cell adhesion motif. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 16:246-250.
- D'Souza, S.E., T.A. Haas, R.S. Piotrowicz, V. Byers-Ward, D.E. McGrath, H.R. Soule, C. Cierniewski, E.F. Plow, and J.W. Smith. 1994. Ligand and cation binding are dual functions of a discrete segment of the integrin β_3 subunit: cation displacement is involved in ligand binding. *Cell*. 79:659-667.
- Duczmal, A., S. Schollhammer, S. Katich, O. Ebling, R. Schwartz-Albiez, and P. Altevogt. 1997. The L1 adhesion molecule supports $\alpha_v\beta_3$ -mediated migration of human tumor cells and activated T lymphocytes. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 232:236-239.
- Ebeling, O., A. Duczmal, S. Aigner, C. Geiger, S. Schollhammer, J. T. Kemshead, P. Moller, R. Schwartz-Albiez, and P. Altevogt. 1996. L1 adhesion molecule on human lymphocytes and monocytes: expression and involvement

- in binding to $\alpha_v\beta_3$ integrin. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 26:2508-2516.
- Feizi, T. 1994. Evidence for carbohydrate-mediated interactions between the neural-cell-adhesion molecules NCAM and L1. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 19:233-234.
- Felding-Habermann, B., R. Habermann, E. Saldivar, and Z.M. Ruggeri. 1996. Role of β_3 integrins in melanoma cell adhesion to activated platelets under flow. *J. Biol. Chem.* 271:5892-5900.
- Felsenfeld, D.P., M.A. Hynes, K.M. Skoler, A.J. Furley, and T.M. Jessell. 1994. TAG-1 can mediate homophilic binding, but neurite outgrowth on TAG-1 requires an L1-like molecule and β_1 integrins. *Neuron*. 12:675-690.
- Friedlander, D.R., P. Milev, L. Karthikeyan, R.K. Margolis, R.U. Margolis, and M. Grumet. 1994. The neuronal chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan neurocan binds to the neural cell adhesion molecules Ng-CAM/L1/NILE and N-CAM, and inhibits neuronal adhesion and neurite outgrowth. *J. Cell Biol.* 125:669-680.
- Frojmovic, M.M., T.E. O'Toole, E.F. Plow, J.C. Loftus, and M.H. Ginsberg. 1991. Platelet glycoprotein IIb-IIIa ($\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrin) confers fibrinogen- and activation-dependent aggregation on heterologous cells. *Blood*. 78:369-376.
- Grumet, M., S. Hoffman, C.M. Chuong, and G.M. Edelman. 1984. Polypeptide components and binding functions of neuron-glia cell adhesion molecules. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 81:7989-7993.
- Grumet, M., A. Flaccus, and R.U. Margolis. 1993. Functional characterization of chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans of brain: Interactions with neurons and neural cell adhesion molecules. *J. Cell Biol.* 120:815-824.
- Haas, T., and E.F. Plow. 1994. Integrin-ligand interactions: a year in review. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 6:656-662.
- Hall, H., S. Carbonetto, and M. Schachner. 1997. L1/HNK-1 carbohydrate- and β_1 integrin-dependent neural cell adhesion to laminin. *J. Neurochem.* 68:544-553.
- Healy, J.M., O. Murayama, T. Maeda, K. Yoshino, K. Sekiguchi, and M. Kikuchi. 1995. Peptide ligands for integrin $\alpha_v\beta_3$ selected from random phage display libraries. *Biochemistry*. 34:3948-3955.
- Higuchi, R. 1990. Recombinant PCR in PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Applications. M.A. Innis, D.H. Gelfand, J.J. Sninsky, and T.J. White, editors. Academic Press, San Diego. 177-183.
- Hughes, S.E. 1996. Functional characterization of the spontaneously transformed human umbilical vein endothelial cell line ECV304: use in an in vitro model of angiogenesis. *Exp. Cell Res.* 225:171-185.
- Jouet, M., A. Rosenthal, and S. Kenrick. 1995. Exon 2 of the gene for neural cell adhesion molecule L1 is alternatively spliced in B cells. *Brain Res. Mol. Brain Res.* 30:378-380.
- Kadmon, G., F. von Bohlen und Halbach, R. Horstkorte, M. Eckert, P. Altevogt, and M. Schachner. 1995. Evidence for a cis interaction and cooperative signalling by the heat-stable antigen nectadrin (murine CD24) and the cell adhesion molecule L1 in neurons. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 7:993-1004.
- Kirchhofer, D., J. Grzesiaki, and M.D. Pierschbacher. 1991. Calcium as a potential physiological regulator of integrin-mediated cell adhesion. *J. Biol. Chem.* 266:4471-4477.
- Koivunen, E., D.A. Gay, and E. Ruoslahti. 1993. Selection of peptides binding to the $\alpha_5\beta_1$ integrin from phage display library. *J. Biol. Chem.* 268:20205-20220.
- Koivunen, E., B. Wang, C.D. Dickinson, and E. Ruoslahti. 1994. Peptides in cell adhesion research. *Methods Enzymol.* 245:346-369.
- Kowitz, A., G. Kadmon, M. Eckert, V. Schirmacher, M. Schachner, and P. Altevogt. 1992. Expression and function of the neural cell adhesion molecule L1 in mouse leukocytes. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 22:1199-1205.
- Kuhn, T.B., E.T. Stoekli, M.A. Condrau, F.G. Rathjen, and P. Sonderegger. 1991. Neurite outgrowth on immobilized Axonin-1 is mediated by a heterophilic interaction with L1(G4). *J. Cell Biol.* 115:1113-1126.
- Kunicki, T.J., D.S. Annis, and B. Felding-Habermann. 1997. Molecular determinants of arg-gly-asp ligand specificity for β_3 integrins. *J. Biol. Chem.* 272:4103-4107.
- Kujat, R., F. Miragall, D. Krause, R. Dermietzel, and K.H. Wrobel. 1995. Immunolocalization of the neural cell adhesion molecule L1 in non-proliferating epithelial cells of the male urogenital tract. *Histochem. Cell Biol.* 103:311-321.
- Lagenaur, C., and V. Lemmon. 1987. An L1-like molecule, the 8D9 antigen, is a potent substrate for neurite extension. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 84:7753-7757.
- Lemmon, V., and S. McLoon. 1986. The appearance of an L1-like molecule in the chick visual pathway. *J. Neurosci.* 6:2987-2994.
- Lemmon, V., K.L. Farr, and C. Lagenaur. 1989. L1-mediated axon outgrowth occurs via a homophilic binding mechanism. *Neuron*. 2:1597-1603.
- Lindner, J., F.G. Rathjen, and M. Schachner. 1983. L1 mono- and polyclonal antibodies modify cell migration in early postnatal mouse cerebellum. *Nature*. 305:427-430.
- Linnemann, D., A. Raz, and E. Bock. 1989. Differential expression of cell adhesion molecules in variants of the K1735 melanoma cells differing in metastatic capacity. *Int. J. Cancer*. 43:709-712.
- Marshall, J.F., D.C. Rutherford, A.C.E. McCartney, F. Mitjans, S.L. Goodman, and I.R. Hart. 1995. $\alpha_v\beta_1$ is a receptor for vitronectin and fibrinogen, and acts with $\alpha_5\beta_1$ to mediate spreading on fibronectin. *J. Cell Sci.* 108:1227-1238.
- Martini, R., and M. Schachner. 1986. Immunoelectron microscopic localization of neural cell adhesion molecules (L-1, N-CAM, and MAG) and their shared

- carbohydrate epitope and myelin basic protein in developing sciatic nerve. *J. Cell Biol.* 103:2439–2448.
- Milner, R., G. Edwards, C. Streuli, and C. Ffrench-Constant. 1996. A role in migration for the $\alpha_5\beta_1$ integrin expressed on oligodendrocyte precursors. *J. Neurosci.* 16:7240–7252.
- Mitjans, F., D. Sanders, J. Adan, A. Sutter, J.M. Martinez, C.-S. Jaggle, J.M. Moyano, H.-G. Kreysch, J. Piulats, and S.L. Goodman. 1995. An anti- α_v -integrin antibody that blocks integrin function inhibits the development of a human melanoma in nude mice. *J. Cell Science.* 108:2825–2838.
- Montgomery, A.M.P., J.C. Becker, C.-H. Siu, V. Lemmon, D.A. Cheresh, J.D. Pancook, X. Zhao, and R.A. Reisfeld. 1996. Human neural cell adhesion molecule L1 and rat homologue NILE are ligands for integrin $\alpha_v\beta_3$. *J. Cell Biol.* 132:475–485.
- Moos, M., R. Tacke, H. Scherer, D. Peplow, K. Früh, and M. Schachner. 1988. Neural adhesion molecule L1 as a member of the immunoglobulin superfamily with binding domains similar to fibronectin. *Nature.* 334:701–703.
- Mujoo, K., R.C. Spiro, and R.A. Reisfeld. 1986. Characterization of a unique glycoprotein antigen expressed on the surface of human neuroblastoma cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 261:10299–10309.
- Mould, A.P., S.K. Akiyama, and M.J. Humphries. 1995. Regulation of integrin $\alpha_5\beta_1$ -fibronectin interactions by divalent cations. *J. Biol. Chem.* 270:26270–26277.
- Nijja, K., E. Hodson, R. Bader, V. Byers-Ward, J.A. Koziol, E.F. Plow, and Z.M. Ruggeri. 1987. Increased surface expression of the membrane glycoprotein IIb/IIIa complex induced by platelet activation: relationship to the binding of fibrinogen and platelet aggregation. *Blood.* 70:475–483.
- Olive, S., C. Dubois, M. Schachner, and G. Rougon. 1995. The F3 neuronal glycosylphosphatidyl inositol-linked molecule is localized to glycolipid-enriched membrane subdomains and interacts with L1 and fyn kinase in cerebellum. *J. Neurochem.* 65:2307–2317.
- O'Neil, K.T., R.H. Hoess, S.A. Jackson, N.S. Ramachandran, S.A. Mousa, and W.F. DeGrado. 1992. Identification of novel peptide antagonists for GPIIb/IIIa from a conformationally constrained phage peptide library. *Proteins.* 14:509–515.
- O'Toole, T.E., J.C. Loftus, E.F. Plow, A.A. Glass, J.R. Harper, and M.H. Ginsberg. 1989. Efficient surface expression of platelet GPIIb-IIIa requires both subunits. *Blood.* 74:14–18.
- O'Toole, T.E., J.C. Loftus, X. Du, A.A. Glass, Z.M. Ruggeri, S.J. Shattil, E.F. Plow, and M.H. Ginsberg. 1990. Affinity modulation of the $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrin (platelet GPIIb-IIIa) is an intrinsic property of the receptor. *Cell Regul.* 1:883–893.
- O'Toole, T.E., Y. Katagiri, R.J. Faull, K. Peter, R. Tamura, V. Quaranta, J.C. Loftus, S.J. Shattil, and M.H. Ginsberg. 1994. Integrin cytoplasmic domains mediate inside-out signal transduction. *J. Cell Biol.* 124:1047–1059.
- Pancook, J.D., R.A. Reisfeld, N. Varki, A. Vitiello, R.I. Fox, and A.M.P. Montgomery. 1997. Expression and regulation of the neural cell adhesion molecule L1 on human cells of myelomonocytic and lymphoid origin. *J. Immunol.* 158:4413–4421.
- Poltorak, M., K. Shimoda, and W.J. Freed. 1990. Cell adhesion molecules (CAMs) in adrenal medulla in situ and in vitro: Enhancement of chromaffin cell L1/Ng-CAM expression by NGF. *Exp. Neurol.* 110:52–72.
- Rathjen, F.G., and M. Schachner. 1984. Immunocytological and biochemical characterization of a new neuronal cell surface component (L1 antigen) which is involved in cell adhesion. *EMBO (Eur. Mol. Biol. Organ.) J.* 3:1–10.
- Reid, R.A., and J.J. Hemperly. 1992. Variants of human L1 cell adhesion molecule arise through alternate splicing of RNA. *J. Mol. Neurosci.* 3:127–135.
- Ruppert, M., S. Aigner, M. Hubbe, H. Yagita, and P. Altevogt. 1995. The L1 adhesion molecule is a cellular ligand for VLA-5. *J. Cell Biol.* 131:1881–1891.
- Schmidt, C., V. Kunemund, E.S. Wintergerst, B. Schmitz, and M. Schachner. 1996. CD9 of mouse brain is implicated in neurite outgrowth and cell migration in vitro and is associated with the $\alpha_6\beta_1$ integrin and the neural adhesion molecule L1. *J. Neurosci. Res.* 43:12–31.
- Sonderegger, P.R., and F.G. Rathjen. 1992. Regulation of axonal growth in the vertebrate nervous system by interactions between glycoproteins belonging to two subgroups of the immunoglobulin superfamily. *J. Cell Biol.* 119:1387–1394.
- Suehiro, K., J.W. Smith, and E.F. Plow. 1996. The ligand recognition specificity of β_3 integrins. *J. Biol. Chem.* 271:10365–10371.
- Thor, G., R. Probstmeier, and M. Schachner. 1987. Characterization of the cell adhesion molecules L1, N-CAM and J1 in the mouse intestine. *EMBO (Eur. Mol. Biol. Organ.) J.* 6:2581–2586.
- Zhao, X., and C.-H. Siu. 1995. Co-localization of the homophilic binding site and the neuritogenic activity of the cell adhesion molecule L1 to the second Ig-like domain. *J. Biol. Chem.* 270:29413–29421.