100 years of sperm chemotaxis

U.B. Kaupp

Department of Molecular Sensory Systems, Center of Advanced European Studies and Research, 53175 Bonn, Germany

Exactly 100 years ago, F.R. Lillie reported chemotaxis in animal sperm for the first time (Lillie, 1912). Chemotaxis refers to the directed movement of a cell or organism to the source of a chemical gradient; the chemical agent that elicits this movement is called a chemoattractant. Chemotaxis had previously been shown by Pfeffer (1884) for fern spermatozoids; however, attempts to demonstrate chemotaxis in animal sperm had failed. Lillie was an eminent scientist of the time; he was professor of embryology at the University of Chicago, president of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA, and a towering figure of the scientific community at the Marine Biological Laboratory (MBL) in Woods Hole, MA. From 1908 to 1925, he served as director of the MBL.

In a report entitled "The production of sperm isoagglutinins by ova" (Lillie, 1912), Lillie described an agglutination reaction when drops of seawater that had been conditioned with unfertilized eggs were injected into a milky suspension of sperm from the sea urchin *Arbacia punctulata*. The sperm agglutinated—or clumped—into small masses, or beads. The reaction was reversible; after some time, the sperm freed themselves and regained full mobility. Lillie called the ova-derived factor an iso-agglutinin because it acted on sperm of the same species. Because Lillie thought that the iso-agglutinin represented a key factor for fertilization, he dubbed it "fertilizin" (Lillie, 1913). Lillie interpreted the agglutination as physical cross-linking of sperm by egg jelly molecules analogous to the antigen–antibody reaction. The spontaneous reversal was later explained by fragmentation of fertilizin by a sperm enzyme called "lysin" (Tyler, 1941).

At the end of his manuscript (Lillie, 1912), Lillie reported almost in passing that egg extracts also contain a chemotactically active agent distinct from the iso-agglutinin. The short passage about the chemotactic behavior is worth quoting for its clarity and succinct style:

"The egg-extracts contain not only an agglutinin for the spermatozoa, but also an aggregative agent, i.e., a substance towards which the spermatozoa are positively chemotactic. This may be readily demonstrated by the form of the reaction when a drop of the fluid to be tested is injected into a sperm suspension beneath a raised cover glass. If an aggregative agent be present, a ring of spermatozoa forms at or within the margin of the drop, depending on the strength of the agent, and a clear zone arises between this ring and the general sperm suspension. The clear zone is produced by migration of the spermatozoa to the ring; in case the agent is very strong the ring expands, owing to immigration of spermatozoa, but the clear zone is never obliterated, no matter how much the ring may expand. In the case of Nereis, which has unusually large spermatozoa, the passage of spermatozoa across the clear zone to the ring may be readily studied under a low power of the microscope, and it gives the impression of a regular rain falling on the ring."

Lillie believed that the two reactions—agglutination and chemotaxis—served distinct functions and were caused by different substances. In the following years, Lillie was more interested in the agglutination reaction (summarized in his book "Problems of Fertilization"; Lillie, 1919), and he did not follow up on his study of the chemotactic response, perhaps because another Woods Hole luminary and founder of *The Journal of General Physiology*, Jacques Loeb, took a decided stand against chemotropism of sperm in animals (Loeb, 1914, 1916, 1918). In general, for the next 50 years, studies of sperm chemotaxis produced mixed results. As late as 1951 and 1952, Lord Rothschild concluded that "in the animal kingdom, spermatozoa probably meet or collide with eggs by chance" (Rothschild, 1952), and that "chemotaxis of spermatozoa toward eggs has never been observed with certainty" (Rothschild, 1951). It was not until the experiments of R.L. Miller in the late 1960s and 1970s that chemotaxis in animal sperm was firmly established (Miller, 1966, 1970, 1985). To this day, chemotaxis in mammalian sperm has proved to be exceedingly difficult to study (Eisenbach and Giojalas, 2006; Armon et al., 2012). Numerous molecules—from odorants to gases—have been proposed to attract sperm. However, their function as chemoattractants has not been as firmly established as the chemoattractants of sperm from marine invertebrates.

Ironically, the fertilizin hypothesis turned out to be incorrect, whereas Lillie's observation of sperm chemotaxis stood the test of time. Indeed, *A. punctulata* has

Correspondence to U.B. Kaupp: u.b.kaupp@caesar.de

© 2012 Kaupp This article is distributed under the terms of an Attribution–Noncommercial–Share Alike–No Mirror Sites license for the first six months after the publication date (see http://www.rupress.org/terms). After six months it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution–Noncommercial–Share Alike 3.0 Unported license, as described at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/).

The Rockefeller University Press
J. Gen. Physiol. Vol. 140 No. 6 583–586
www.jgp.org/cgi/doi/10.1085/jgp.201210902

The Journal of General Physiology

Downloaded from http://rupress.org/jgp/article-pdf/140/6/583/1790306/jgp_201210902.pdf by guest on 08 February 2026

become the most valuable model of sperm chemotaxis research. The sea urchin agglutination phenomenon requires freely moving sperm and is probably produced by the swarming of sperm to a common focus (Collins, 1976). Moreover, at high density, sperm of a related sea urchin, *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*, form large-scale patterns solely mediated by hydrodynamic interactions (Riedel et al., 2005). At planar surfaces, sperm display hexagonal arrays of vortices. Thus, the agglutination reaction is probably caused by vigorous chemotactic swimming of sperm toward the drop of chemoattractant combined with hydrodynamic interactions. The dispersal of sperm clumps after some time is probably caused by dissipation of the chemical gradient and sperm adaptation to the chemoattractant.

About 70 years after Lillie's discovery, the chemoattractant resact, a short peptide isolated from jelly of *Arbacia* eggs (Hansbrough and Garbers, 1981), was identified and the chemotactic response of *Arbacia* sperm to resact was unequivocally identified (Ward et al., 1985).

We repeated Lillie's experiment using modern microscopy techniques and a caged form of resact. We did not inject resact into a sperm suspension by a pipette; instead, we created a gradient of resact concentration by releasing resact from the caged compound with a brief flash of UV light (Video 1). The intensity of the light flash formed a circular distribution. The results of this experiment recapitulate Lillie's original observations, as did those of Ward et al. (1985): Sperm swarm to the site where the light intensity is highest and form clumps; an annulus of low sperm density forms that separates the area in the center of the gradient that is densely populated by sperm from the surrounding sperm suspension. After some time, the sperm clumps come apart. Thus, Lillie's observations were as precise as they were correct.

We also made use of Lillie's egg-extract experiment to determine the amount of resact released by an egg; the equivalent concentration in the egg is 50 µM (Kashikar et al., 2012). This figure combined with a lower boundary of 0.8 fM μm^{-1} for the gradient sensitivity of *A. punctulata* sperm allows us to estimate the maximal effective range of a chemical gradient that forms by radial diffusion of resact from the egg (~0.4 cm) (Kashikar et al., 2012).

After the seminal discoveries of resact, and its cognate receptor, guanylyl cyclase (GC) (Suzuki et al., 1984; Shimomura et al., 1986; Singh et al., 1988), an ever-increasing number of signaling molecules have been identified in the chemotactic pathway of sea urchin sperm (Fig. 1). Rapid kinetic techniques combined with flash photolysis of caged compounds have enabled dissection of the sequence of signaling events from the receptor to the voltage-dependent Ca_v channels that mediate the Ca^{2+} response. Of note, this signaling pathway endows *Arbacia* sperm with sensitivity to chemoattractant at the physical limit: they can respond to binding of a single resact molecule (Kaupp et al., 2003; Strünker et al., 2006; Kashikar et al., 2012). The identity and function of some signaling components have been firmly established, although the physiological roles of others continue to be vague. Notably, the identity of the Ca_v channels is unknown, and the function of the rise in pH_i and the workings of the underlying Na^+–H^+ exchanger (NHE) are still enigmatic. Finally, the mechanisms underlying



Figure 1. Chemotactic signaling pathway in sea urchin sperm. Resact, the chemoattractant peptide, binds to receptor GC and, thereby, stimulates the rapid synthesis of cGMP. The ensuing surge in cGMP opens K^+-selective cyclic nucleotide–gated (CNGK) (Strünker et al., 2006; Galindo et al., 2007; Bönigk et al., 2009) channels to produce a brief hyperpolarization of the cell membrane. This hyperpolarization activates two other signaling components: an NHE and a hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide–gated (HCN) channel (Gauss et al., 1998; Galindo et al., 2005). NHE activity causes a rapid alkalinization of the cytosol (Lee, 1984; Lee and Garbers, 1986). Upon opening of HCN channels, the ensuing Na^+ inward current depolarizes the cell and leads to the opening of voltage-dependent Ca^{2+} channels (Ca_v). Recovery from stimulation involves restoration of resting $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ by a Na^+–Ca^{2+}–K^+ exchanger (NCKX) (Su and Vacquier, 2002) and hydrolysis of cGMP by a phosphodiesterase (PDE) (Su and Vacquier, 2006). The physiological role of a soluble adenylate cyclase (not depicted) (Nomura et al., 2005) and of the second messenger cAMP is not known.

Downloaded from http://rupress.org/jgp/article-pdf/140/6/583/1790306/jgp_201210902.pdf by guest on 08 February 2026

the sperm's recovery from stimulation and the regulation of its sensitivity to chemoattractant are largely unknown. Sperm from *S. purpuratus* sperm harbor a similar signaling pathway (Darszon et al., 2008); however, in the shallow recording chambers frequently used to study chemotactic behavior under the microscope, *S. purpuratus* sperm do not display chemotaxis (Guerrero et al., 2010).

Caged resact and caged cGMP (Hagen et al., 2001; Nishigaki et al., 2004) have also been instrumental in deciphering the swimming pattern of sperm in a chemical gradient and in defining the cellular algorithms that transduce chemoattractant binding into a change in flagellar beat and, thereby, the swimming path. When confined to the glass–water interface in a narrow recording chamber, sea urchin sperm swim in regular circles. In a chemical gradient, the circles start drifting toward the chemoattractant source, giving rise to looping swimming paths (Kaupp et al., 2003; Friedrich and Jülicher, 2007). To do so, sperm are equipped with high school calculus. Sperm can detect and count single molecules, integrate, and differentiate. Sperm temporally sample chemoattractant molecules impinging on their flagella and integrate the binding events to produce a summed response (Kashikar et al., 2012; Leslie, 2012). Because of the circular movement, sperm in a gradient are periodically exposed to higher and lower concentrations of chemoattractant. This periodic stimulation triggers Ca^{2+} spikes that initiate a change in the asymmetry of the flagellar beat and, thereby, the swimming path (Kaupp et al., 2003; Böhmer et al., 2005). Studies on detergent-treated and reactivated sea urchin sperm show that the flagellar beat is more asymmetrical at high $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ and more symmetrical at low $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ (Brokaw, 1979; Lindemann and Goltz, 1988). In intact sperm, however, it is the time derivative of the changes in Ca^{2+} concentration $d[Ca^{2+}]_i/dt$ rather than absolute $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ that determines the curvature of the swimming path (Alvarez et al., 2012).

Arbacia sperm hold great promise for a profound understanding of chemotaxis at the molecular, systems, and behavioral level. In the future, I anticipate major advances in three areas: first, identification of all signaling molecules, quantitative description of their properties, and modeling of the entire chemotactic signaling pathway. For example: What is the density of the GC on the flagellum, its ligand affinity, and capture efficacy? What is the turnover number of cGMP synthesis? Does the GC inactivate and, if so, how fast and through what mechanisms? Does the GC in the flagellar membrane form oligomers, a supramolecular architecture, or a complex with other signaling molecules?

The second area in which I anticipate an advance is in overcoming the technical challenge of experimentally reconstructing the 3-D flagellar beat in freely swimming sperm, and characterizing its modulation by Ca^{2+} with spatiotemporal precision. The last area of advance is in clarification of how the modulation of the 3-D flagellar beat shapes the sperm swimming path in 3-D (Su et al., 2012). Time-resolved electron tomography of ultrathin cryo-sections of the flagellum will open the door to a new 3-D world of ciliary beat mechanics (Nicastro et al., 2006; Ishikawa, 2012; Pigino et al., 2012).

Chemotactic signaling and swimming behavior in sperm of marine invertebrates might differ from those of mammals. However, the tools and concepts developed for the study of chemotaxis in sea urchin sperm will be invaluable to advance insights into chemotaxis of human sperm.

Elizabeth M. Adler served as editor.

REFERENCES

Alvarez, L., L. Dai, B.M. Friedrich, N.D. Kashikar, I. Gregor, R. Pascal, and U.B. Kaupp. 2012. The rate of change in Ca^{2+} concentration controls sperm chemotaxis. *J. Cell Biol.* 196:653–663. http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201106096

Armon, L., S.R. Caplan, M. Eisenbach, and B.M. Friedrich. 2012. Testing human sperm chemotaxis: how to detect biased motion in population assays. *PLoS ONE.* 7:e32909. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0032909

Böhmer, M., Q. Van, I. Weyand, V. Hagen, M. Beyermann, M. Matsumoto, M. Hoshi, E. Hildebrand, and U.B. Kaupp. 2005. Ca^{2+} spikes in the flagellum control chemotactic behavior of sperm. *EMBO J.* 24:2741–2752. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7600744

Bönigk, W., A. Loogen, R. Seifert, N. Kashikar, C. Klemm, E. Krause, V. Hagen, E. Kremmer, T. Strünker, and U.B. Kaupp. 2009. An atypical CNG channel activated by a single cGMP molecule controls sperm chemotaxis. *Sci. Signal.* 2:ra68. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.2000516

Brokaw, C.J. 1979. Calcium-induced asymmetrical beating of triton-demembranated sea urchin sperm flagella. *J. Cell Biol.* 82:401–411. http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.82.2.401

Collins, F. 1976. A reevaluation of the fertilizin hypothesis of sperm agglutination and the description of a novel form of sperm adhesion. *Dev. Biol.* 49:381–394. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0012-1606(76)90182-2

Darszon, A., A. Guerrero, B.E. Galindo, T. Nishigaki, and C.D. Wood. 2008. Sperm-activating peptides in the regulation of ion fluxes, signal transduction and motility. *Int. J. Dev. Biol.* 52:595–606. http://dx.doi.org/10.1387/ijdb.072550ad

Eisenbach, M., and L.C. Giojalas. 2006. Sperm guidance in mammals-an unpaved road to the egg. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 7:276–285. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm1893

Friedrich, B.M., and F. Jülicher. 2007. Chemotaxis of sperm cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 104:13256–13261. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0703530104

Galindo, B.E., A.T. Neill, and V.D. Vacquier. 2005. A new hyperpolarization-activated, cyclic nucleotide-gated channel from sea urchin sperm flagella. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 334:96–101. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2005.06.074

Galindo, B.E., J.L. de la Vega-Beltrán, P. Labarca, V.D. Vacquier, and A. Darszon. 2007. Sp-tetraKCNG: a novel cyclic nucleotide gated K^+ channel. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 354:668–675. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2007.01.035

Gauss, R., R. Seifert, and U.B. Kaupp. 1998. Molecular identification of a hyperpolarization-activated channel in sea urchin sperm. *Nature.* 393:583–587. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/31248

Downloaded from http://rupress.org/jgp/article-pdf/140/6/583/1790306/jgp_201210902.pdf by guest on 08 February 2026

Guerrero, A., T. Nishigaki, J. Carneiro, C.D. Yoshiro Tatsu, C.D. Wood, and A. Darszon. 2010. Tuning sperm chemotaxis by calcium burst timing. *Dev. Biol.* 344:52–65. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.04.013

Hagen, V., J. Bendig, S. Frings, T. Eckardt, S. Helm, D. Reuter, and U.B. Kaupp. 2001. Highly efficient and ultrafast phototriggers for cAMP and cGMP by using long-wavelength UV/Vis-activation. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 40:1045–1048. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/1521-3773(20010316)40:6<1045::AID-ANIE10450>3.0.CO;2-F

Hansbrough, J.R., and D.L. Garbers. 1981. Speract. Purification and characterization of a peptide associated with eggs that activates spermatozoa. *J. Biol. Chem.* 256:1447–1452.

Ishikawa, T. 2012. Structural biology of cytoplasmic and axonemal dyneins. *J. Struct. Biol.* 179:229–234. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2012.05.016

Kashikar, N.D., L. Alvarez, R. Seifert, I. Gregor, O. Jäckle, M. Beyermann, E. Krause, and U.B. Kaupp. 2012. Temporal sampling, resetting, and adaptation orchestrate gradient sensing in sperm. *J. Cell Biol.* 198:1075–1091. http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201204024

Kaupp, U.B., J. Solzin, E. Hildebrand, J.E. Brown, A. Helbig, V. Hagen, M. Beyermann, F. Pampaloni, and I. Weyand. 2003. The signal flow and motor response controling chemotaxis of sea urchin sperm. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 5:109–117. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncb915

Lee, H.C. 1984. A membrane potential-sensitive Na^+-H^+ exchange system in flagella isolated from sea urchin spermatozoa. *J. Biol. Chem.* 259:15315–15319.

Lee, H.C., and D.L. Garbers. 1986. Modulation of the voltage-sensitive Na^+/H^+ exchange in sea urchin spermatozoa through membrane potential changes induced by the egg peptide speract. *J. Biol. Chem.* 261:16026–16032.

Leslie, M. 2012. In Focus. Unlocking the sperm's internal compass. *J. Cell Biol.* 198:955. http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.1986if

Lillie, F.R. 1912. The production of sperm iso-agglutinins by ova. *Science.* 36:527–530. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.36.929.527

Lillie, F.R. 1913. The mechanism of fertilization. *Science.* 38:524–528. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.38.980.524

Lillie, F.R. 1919. Problems of Fertilization. University of Chicago Press, Chicago. 300 pp.

Lindemann, C.B., and J.S. Goltz. 1988. Calcium regulation of flagellar curvature and swimming pattern in triton X-100—extracted rat sperm. *Cell Motil. Cytoskeleton.* 10:420–431. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cm.970100309

Loeb, J. 1914. Cluster formation of spermatozoa caused by specific substances from eggs. *J. Exp. Zool.* 17:123–140. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jez.1400170106

Loeb, J. 1916. The Organism As a Whole: From a Physicochemical Viewpoint. Putnam, New York. 379 pp.

Loeb, J. 1918. Forced Movements, Tropisms and Animal Conduct, Monographs on Experimental Biology I. Lippincott Co., Philadelphia. 209 pp.

Miller, R.L. 1966. Chemotaxis during fertilization in the hydroid *Campanularia. J. Exp. Zool.* 162:23–44. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jez.1401620104

Miller, R.L. 1970. Sperm migration prior to fertilization in the hydroid *Gonothyrea loveni. J. Exp. Zool.* 175:493–503. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jez.1401750409

Miller, R.L. 1985. Sperm chemo-orientation in the metazoa. *In* Biology of Fertilization, Volume 2: Biology of the Sperm. C.B. Metz and A. Monroy, editors. Academic Press, New York. 275–337.

Nicastro, D., C. Schwartz, J. Pierson, R. Gaudette, M.E. Porter, and J.R. McIntosh. 2006. The molecular architecture of axonemes revealed by cryoelectron tomography. *Science.* 313:944–948. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1128618

Nishigaki, T., C.D. Wood, Y. Tatsu, N. Yumoto, T. Furuta, D. Elias, K. Shiba, S.A. Baba, and A. Darszon. 2004. A sea urchin egg jelly peptide induces a cGMP-mediated decrease in sperm intracellular Ca^{2+} before its increase. *Dev. Biol.* 272:376–388. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2004.04.035

Nomura, M., C. Beltrán, A. Darszon, and V.D. Vacquier. 2005. A soluble adenylyl cyclase from sea urchin spermatozoa. *Gene.* 353:231–238. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2005.04.034

Pfeffer, W. 1884. Locomotorische Richtungsbewegungen durch chemische Reize. *Untersuchungen aus d. Bot. Inst. Tübingen.* 1:363–482.

Pigino, G., A. Maheshwari, K.H. Bui, C. Shingyoji, S. Kamimura, and T. Ishikawa. 2012. Comparative structural analysis of eukaryotic flagella and cilia from Chlamydomonas, Tetrahymena, and sea urchins. *J. Struct. Biol.* 178:199–206. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2012.02.012

Riedel, I.H., K. Kruse, and J. Howard. 2005. A self-organized vortex array of hydrodynamically entrained sperm cells. *Science.* 309:300–303. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1110329

Rothschild, L. 1951. Sperm-egg interacting substances and metabolic changes associated with fertilization. *Biochem. Soc. Symp.* 7:40–51.

Rothschild, L. 1952. Spermatozoa. *Sci. Prog.* 40:1–10.

Shimomura, H., L.J. Dangott, and D.L. Garbers. 1986. Covalent coupling of a resact analogue to guanylate cyclase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 261:15778–15782.

Singh, S., D.G. Lowe, D.S. Thorpe, H. Rodriguez, W.-J. Kuang, L.J. Dangott, M. Chinkers, D.V. Goeddel, and D.L. Garbers. 1988. Membrane guanylate cyclase is a cell-surface receptor with homology to protein kinases. *Nature.* 334:708–712. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/334708a0

Strünker, T., I. Weyand, W. Bönigk, Q. Van, A. Loogen, J.E. Brown, N. Kashikar, V. Hagen, E. Krause, and U.B. Kaupp. 2006. A K^+-selective cGMP-gated ion channel controls chemosensation of sperm. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 8:1149–1154. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncb1473

Su, T.W., L. Xue, and A. Ozcan. 2012. High-throughput lensfree 3D tracking of human sperms reveals rare statistics of helical trajectories. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 109:16018–16022. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1212506109

Su, Y.H., and V.D. Vacquier. 2002. A flagellar K^+-dependent Na^+Ca^{2+} exchanger keeps Ca^{2+} low in sea urchin spermatozoa. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 99:6743–6748. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.102186699

Su, Y.H., and V.D. Vacquier. 2006. Cyclic GMP-specific phosphodiesterase-5 regulates motility of sea urchin spermatozoa. *Mol. Biol. Cell.* 17:114–121. http://dx.doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E05-08-0820

Suzuki, N., H. Shimomura, E.W. Radany, C.S. Ramarao, G.E. Ward, J.K. Bentley, and D.L. Garbers. 1984. A peptide associated with eggs causes a mobility shift in a major plasma membrane protein of spermatozoa. *J. Biol. Chem.* 259:14874–14879.

Tyler, A. 1941. The role of fertilizin in the fertilization of eggs of the sea-urchin and other animals. *Biol. Bull.* 81:190–204. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1537786

Ward, G.E., C.J. Brokaw, D.L. Garbers, and V.D. Vacquier. 1985. Chemotaxis of *Arbacia punctulata* spermatozoa to resact, a peptide from the egg jelly layer. *J. Cell Biol.* 101:2324–2329. http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.101.6.2324

Downloaded from http://rupress.org/jgp/article-pdf/140/6/583/1790306/jgp_201210902.pdf by guest on 08 February 2026