

In the article "Enhanced responsiveness of endothelium in the growing/motile state to tumor necrosis factor/cachectin" by H. Gerlach, H. Lieberman, R. Bach, G. Godman, J. Brett, and D. Stern (September 1989, 170:913), there are two mistakes. First, the legend to Fig. 6 is incorrect. The correct version is:

FIGURE 6. Visualization of TNF bound to subconfluent (*B* and *D*) and late postconfluent (*A* and *C*) endothelial monolayers by immunofluorescence and electron microscopy. (*A* and *B*) Immunofluorescence. Endothelial cultures (subconfluent [*B*] and postconfluent [*A*]) were incubated with TNF (0.3 nM) for 1 h at 4°C, washed, and then after 5 min at room temperature, fixation was carried out. TNF was visualized by adding rabbit anti-TNF antibody and FITC-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG. Cultures were characterized based on confluency as described in the legend to Fig. 1. Details of methods are described in the text ($\times 650$). (*C* and *D*) Scanning electron microscopy. Endothelial cells (subconfluent [*D*] and postconfluent [*C*]) were incubated with TNF (0.1 nM) conjugated to colloidal gold particles for 1 h at 4°C as described in the text. Demarcated areas in *C* and *D* are shown at higher resolution in the insets on the right. Cell surface-bound TNF-colloidal gold particles (*arrow heads*) are demonstrated in the inset to *D*. Addition of a 100-fold molar excess of TNF not bound to gold particles blocked binding of TNF-gold particles to endothelium by 90% ($\times 2,000$; inset, $\times 15,000$).

Second, in the legend to Fig. 10, the binding curves for TNF after treatment with vinblastine are, by mistake, labeled with a diamond, though, in the figure itself, the curves are labeled with a triangle.