Owen and DeRosier. Vol. 123, No. 2, October 1993. Pages 337–344.

Due to an author's error, incorrect data appeared on p. 338 of the above article.

In the second column, under Eq. 1,

"The ratio of F_{obs} to F_{exp} is independent of the number of images in the data set and has about a 1 in 20 chance of exceeding 1.7 (DeRosier, D., unpublished data). We used $F/F_{exp} \geq 1.7$ as a test for the presence of significant data in the average."

should read:

"The ratio of F_{obs} to F_{exp} is independent of the number of images in the data set and has about a 1 in 20 chance of exceeding 1.95 (DeRosier, D., unpublished result). We used $F/F_{exp} \geq 1.95$ as a test for the presence of significant data in the average."