Owen and DeRosier. Vol. 123, No. 2, October 1993. Pages 337-344.

Due to an author's error, incorrect data appeared on p. 338 of the above article.

In the second column, under Eq. 1,

"The ratio of F_{obs} to F_{exp} is independent of the number of images in the data set and has about a 1 in 20 chance of exceeding 1.7 (DeRosier, D., unpublished data). We used $F/F_{\text{exp}} \ge 1.7$ as a test for the presence of significant data in the average."

should read:

"The ratio of F_{obs} to F_{exp} is independent of the number of images in the data set and has about a 1 in 20 chance of exceeding 1.95 (DeRosier, D., unpublished result). We used $F/F_{\text{exp}} \ge 1.95$ as a test for the presence of significant data in the average."